

Subject Attn m.Griffin CAT Act SEct.62 written reasons required for decisions  
NCAT 2021/000140934  
From <ncatdec202105@pzigipa.com>  
Sender <ncatdec202105@pzigipa.com>  
To <ncatdec202105@pzigipa.com>  
Cc <aeod@ncat.nsw.gov.au>  
Date 2023-02-12 18:41  
Priority Highest

- 2021 000140934 Written reasons GRIFFIN 2023 01 19.pdf (~81 KB)
- After Heydon and Carmody.pdf (~155 KB)

Attn m.Griffin,

I am exercising my legislative right under :

Civil & Administrative Tribunals Act (CAT Act) Sect.62 Written reasons for decisions

I request your written reasons for those decisions made at the  
Jan 19,2023 hearing.

I attach a document which identifies various CAT / ADR Act decisions you have made / been  
deemed to have made at the hearing

(I reserve the right to submit an amended CAT Act Sect.62 written reasons request for  
CAT / ADR Act decisions deemed to have been made by you at the above hearing  
and after you have provided your version of the CAT Act Sect.62 written reasons)

Peter Zonneville

Email: ncatdec202105@pzigipa.com

Victim of NCAT systemic misconduct / alleged corrupt conduct

On 2022-09-17 02:08, ncatdec202105@pzigipa.com wrote:

Attn NCAT president,

I refer to my misc applications seeking permission to publish hearing sound recordings.

I am giving notice that I am reluctantly withdrawing my applications seeking permission to publish the  
following hearing recordings:

2021/000140934	Zonneville v DEC	Montgomery Mar.28,2022 hearing
2021/000140934	Zonneville v DEC	Pearson Feb.22,2022 hearing

There are several reason for my reluctant withdrawal of these applications

1. You are aware of the systemic issues related to the misconduct being perpetrated  
against me by your registry officers.

This deliberate & alleged malicious conduct causes me an unreasonable & substantial diversion of my meager resources

2. Again, you and your members utterly fail those CAT / ADR Act statutory obligations which are blatantly abused to compromise my legislative & procedural rights.

This poses an unreasonable & unacceptable risk to my applications.

3. I have absolutely no confidence in m.Simon (refer to 2.)

4. The alleged malicious lies / systemic abuses of my legislative rights / blatant & systemic breaches of GSE Act conduct by CSO GSE Act officers such as Mattes / Bell & McDonnell which is allegedly openly condoned & encouraged by tribunal members is evidence of a very sick & perverted statutory body who's leadership fails public interest & public trust obligations.

I have very grave legitimate fears of my legislative rights being deliberately & maliciously abused by you & your members & your staff such that I have no doubt that the above applications will not be decided fairly, justly or in the public interest

5. Should the tribunal refuse my legitimate withdrawal from the above applications:

- a. I oppose any determination on the papers
- b. I require a hearing for each & every sound recording application
- c. CAT Act Sect.62 Written reasons are required for the CAT / ADR Act decisions to refuse
  - i. any of the above
  - ii. the CAT / ADR Act decision to refuse me those legislative & procedural rights
  - iii. the CAT / ADR Act decision to blatantly refuse to uphold those statutory obligations of the CAT / ADR Act Sect.3 objects
- d. Any further progress in these applications is required to be adjourned until the outcome of OLSC / JC / OMBO complaints that are being submitted as a result of the above systemic issues

The respondents legal representative has been included in this correspondence

Peter Zonneville  
force applicant

forced appellant

victim of alleged DEC corruption

victim of alleged NCAT corruption


[Track an item](#) [Item details](#)

## Your item

0207063930893006060998

**Delivered****Most recent update**Delivered to post office box  
HAYMARKET POST SHOPTue 14 Feb, 7:10am <sup>1</sup>**Tracking history** 

## Need help with your delivery?

 I haven't received this item  I have a different issue  **Carbon neutral delivery**

To offset carbon emissions we invest in sustainable projects.

[Learn more](#)**Service****Tracking number**

We'd really love your feedback. Do you have a moment to tell us what you think?

[Start survey](#)[Chat](#)

## Confirmation of documents received

This form is required to be completed & a copy returned signed to the sender within 5 working days. Failure to provide a signed response is an implicit agreement that those documents listed have been received by the tribunal.

Any discrepancy in the documents received by the tribunal and the registry is solely the responsibility of the registry.

The sender reserves the right to use this document as evidence in proceedings where any document / submission is missing

Documents / submissions from:

Email:

NCAT Proceedings:

Addressee

Address:

Date/Time despatched:

Postage Ref No.:

**Peter Zonneville**

**documentsreceived@pzigipa.com**

**Multiple**

**NCAT Attn NCAT President**

**P.O.Box K1026 Haymarket NSW 1240**

**Feb.13,2023**

<https://auspost.com.au/mypost/track/#/details/0207063930893006060998>

Item		
Item #	Quantity	Description of document
1	Bundle	URGENT CORRESPONDENCE FOR PRINCIPAL REGISTRAR BRAZEL (letter + 2 x document attachments)
2	Bundle	FORMAL COMPLAINT FORMS: a. COMPLAINT AGAINST BECHINI b. COMPLAINT AGAINST CLEGG c. COMPLAINT AGAINST SKINNER d. COMPLAINT AGAINST SZCZYGIELSKI
3.	1	2022/000196935 Application for adjournment
4.	1	2022/00036436 Application for adjournment
5.	1	COPY OF URGENT CORRESPONDENCE FOR PRINCIPAL REGISTRAR BRAZEL (letter + 2 x document attachments) FOR PROCEEDINGS 2022/000196935 + 2022/00036436
6	Bundle	SOUND RECORDING APPLICATION 2022/000196935 incl. FEE WAIVER / FEE REDUCTION APPLICATION GROUNDS FOR FEE WAIVER FEE REDUCTION CREDIT CARD PAYMENT AUTHORITY
7	Bundle	SOUND RECORDING APPLICATION 2021/000140934 incl. FEE WAIVER / FEE REDUCTION APPLICATION GROUNDS FOR FEE WAIVER FEE REDUCTION CREDIT CARD PAYMENT AUTHORITY

--	--	--

Item		
Item #	Quantity	Description of document

Confirmed receipt of documents	
<p>Are there any missing documents?                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>YES</b>                      <input type="checkbox"/> <b>NO</b></p> <p>1. If NO documents are missing please sign / initial below &amp; date</p> <p>2. If you chose "YES" there are missing documents, please indicate which documents are missing from the above list</p>	
<p><b>2. Documents Missing</b></p> <p>The following Items No.(s) is missing from the documents listed above _____</p>	
<p style="text-align: right;"><b>Signature</b></p> <p><b>Receiving officer name / ID number</b> _____</p> <p><b>Receiving officer position</b> _____</p> <p><b>Date received</b> _____</p> <p>I confirm that the above is true and any missing documents have been indicated as above</p> <p>Signature / Initial : _____</p> <p>I am an officer employed under the GSE Act .                      <input type="checkbox"/> Yes   <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	
<p>Thank you for your assistance</p>	

To: Michelle Brazel  
Principal Registrar NCAT  
aeod@ncat.nsw.gov.au

# URGENT

Ms Brazel,

I am forced to write this letter as a result of what I perceive as systemic deliberate, malicious misconduct being perpetrated against me by GSE Act employed registry officers.

1. You are informed that this deliberate & malicious misconduct is perceived as serious harassment & unlawful discrimination causing me:
  - a. unnecessary & detrimental effects to my mental & physical health
  - b. economic detriments
  - c. unnecessary & unwanted delays in my multiple forced proceedings

This should already be known by you as

- c. some of the following issues have occurred since you assumed the position of NCAT principal registrar.
  - d. it would not be unreasonable for me to assume that you have either been
    - i. briefed by the former principal registrar Szczygielski and / or
    - ii. there are directives in my files which you have no doubt reviewed
2. I refer to a number of restrictions you / your predecessor (Ms Szczygielski) have imposed on me including the registry's refusal to accept :
    - a. numerous legitimate credit card payment authorities
    - b. numerous legitimate applications for current proceedings
    - c. numerous legitimate applications for new proceedings
    - d. email addresses used by me for specific proceedings
    - e. email addresses used by me for various complaints
    - f. correspondence from those legitimate email addresses including:
      - i. my exercising of legislative rights under CAT Act Sect.62
      - ii. my exercising of procedural rights to make formal complaints against members // registry officers

3. I believe that many / all of the above restrictions are unlawful which I will substantiate with the attached evidence.

4. I request your proper & detailed response provided to you as a right of reply.

5. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THESE SERIOUS ISSUES ARE IMPACTING MY CURRENT PROCEEDINGS.**

**THIS ABUSE OF AUTHORITY / MISCONDUCT IN PUBLIC OFFICE HAS BEEN BEING PERPETRATED BY FAR TOO LONG.**

**I REQUEST :**

- A. CONFIRMATION OF RECEIPT OF THIS COMPLAINT BY MICHELLE BRAZEL WITHIN 2 WORKING DAYS**
- B. A REASONABLE, PROPERLY DETAILED & ADEQUATE RESPONSE WITHIN 7 DAYS**

**IF THESE REQUESTS ARE UNREASONABLE & IMPROPER PLEASE STATE THOSE REASONS WHY IN YOUR CONFIRMATION OF RECEIPT**

## 6. Request for AGREED FACTS

Would you please confirm by reply in writing :

- a. that you are aware of those restrictions 2.a. to f. being imposed on my by you /your NCAT registry officers?
- b. that you are a GSE Act employed officer and therefore subject to those LEGISLATED MANDATORY CONDUCT OBLIGATIONS pursuant to GSE Act Sect.7?
- c. that you are subject to the DoJ agency codes of conduct and other relevant conduct guidelines?
- d. similarly,the NCAT registry officers are
  - i. GSE Act employed officers and therefore subject to those LEGISLATED MANDATORY CONDUCT OBLIGATIONS pursuant to GSE Act Sect.7?
  - and
  - ii. subject to the DoJ agency codes of conduct and other relevant conduct guidelines?
- e. NCAT procedures provide that any party required to pay a NCAT fee can seek a fee waiver / reduction in fee by submission of a NCAT fee waiver application form?
- f. The NCAT fee waiver form provides the applicant a fee waiver / reduction in fee based on:
  - i. financial hardship?
  - AND
  - ii. "special circumstances"?
- g. the prescribed authority to decided a fee waiver or reduction in fees is that of the principal registrar pursuant to Civil & Adminstrative Regulations Sect.6.5.a.?
- h. the prescribed authority to decided a fee waiver or reduction in fees is that of the principal registrar pursuant to NCAT fee waiver procedures as detailed on the NCAT fee waiver application form (November 2016)?

### 2. REASON FOR APPLYING FOR A FEE WAIVER

The Principal Registrar may waive a fee in full, or reduce the amount you have to pay, if satisfied there are special circumstances. Please indicate which of the following circumstances you are applying for a fee waiver:

- i. Numerous / substantially all of my fee waiver applications have been decided by a registry officer who is:
  - i. not the principal registrar?
  - ii. subordinate to the principal registrar?
- j. Many of my legitimate credit card payment authorities have been shredded / destroyed without being processed?
- k. Legitimate applications requiring the payment of a fee submitted with those shredded / destroyed legitimate credit card payment applications were denied as a consequence of "no fee having been paid"?
- m. Payment of NCAT fee(s) by the submission of the completed NCAT Credit Card Payment Authority form posted to NCAT is an accepted payment method?
- n. NCAT registry officers,including the principal registrar have the statutory obligation to promote the object of the Civil & Adminstrative Tribunals Act Sect.?
- o. On Jan,10,2022,registrar kmcnam0 required me to make a payment of \$110 ?
- p. As of Jan.12,2022,NCAT had received a payment of \$110 from me?
- r. Email addresses such as "michelle.brazel@ncat.nsw.gov.au" are workplace email addresses and not personal email addresses?



- s. There is no legislation which exempts NCAT registry officers (exercising their administrative functions) from those LEGISLATED MANDATORY CONDUCT OBLIGATIONS pursuant to GSE Act SEct.7?
- t. The registry does not have the legislative authority to deny a party to proceedings legislative rights pursuant to CAT Act Sect.62 & CAT Act Sect.54?
- u. Conduct whereby a GSE Act employed registry officers breaches their LEGISLATED MANDATORY CONDUCT OBLIGATIONS pursuant to GSE Act SEct.7 is inconsistent with that required by the tribunal?
- v. Restrictions imposed on a party whose grounds are based on a FALSEHOOD / UNLAWFUL CONDUCT are inconsistent with that required by the tribunal?
- w. The registry does not have the legislative authority to dictate what email address a party may or may not use where the grounds for doing so are based on a FALSEHOOD / UNLAWFUL CONDUCT.?
- x. Should the registry have the legislative authority to dictate what email address a party may or may not use there must be reasonable & legitimate grounds, supported by evidence to impose any restriction?
- y. A GSE Act employed registry officer should not impose any unjust or unlawful or unreasonable restrictions on a party where they are not exercising their functions impartially?

## 7. BACKGROUND Refusal to accept legitimate credit card payment applications

- a. On **Dec.29,2021** I Express Posted the following documents to the tribunal:
- NCAT appeal application 2020/00045500
  - Fee waiver application form
  - Credit card payment authority (CCPA) for \$438
- b. On **Jan.10,2022 (DOC.#1)** I received a notice from the NCAT appeal div. :  
**Case Number 2022/00005413**  
 Your request to waive the fee for your application has been refused for the following reasons:  
 Special circumstances provided do not warrant the fee being waived. If you do not pay the fee of \$110.00 by close of business 12 January 2022 the Registrar may reject and dismiss your application. You can pay the fee online via our payment portal. A link will be emailed to you today. Alternatively you can pay by credit card by calling NCAT on telephone 1300 006 228 or make payment in person at your nearest NCAT registry or Service NSW. For more information regarding our fees, please visit [www.ncat.nsw.gov.au](http://www.ncat.nsw.gov.au)  
**Registrar**  
**kmcnam0**
- this notice made no reference to the legitimate CCPA that was provide with the above application nor any explanation as to why the payment of \$438 was refused
  - I therefore reasonably concluded that the requirement to pay \$110 instead of the standard \$438 fee was a decision by the principal registrar to provide a reduction in the application fee (but not waiving the total fee)
- c. On **Jan 12,2022 (#2)**, in order to expedite matters & accept the reduction in the application fee I agreed (in this instance only) to pay by the payment portal  
**NOTE:** Payment by CCPA is my preferred method as I consider it both:
- the most secure AND
  - the most convenient method (as I have to post in the other forms anyway) AND
  - the quickest & with least formality
- d. On **Jan 12,2022 (#3)** I received a response from kmcnam0 stating I was required to pay a further \$328 for the application fee
- e. I declined to make the payment as I had (and have) grave concerns that I am being deliberately & maliciously harassed by NCAT registry officers.  
 There is no logical or credible reason for them to have refused to process my legitimate CCPA for the \$438 as required.
- f. On **Feb 4,2022 (#4)** the registry received my resubmitted
- NCAT appeal application 2020/00045500
  - Fee waiver application form
  - Credit card payment authority (CCPA) for \$438
- g. On **Feb.8,2022 (#5)** I received a notice from the registry:  
**Case number 2022/00036436**  
**Notice of Appeal**  
 Dear Mr Zonneville,  
 I refer to your Notice of Appeal and Request for Fee Waiver.  
 Your fee waiver request has been refused by the Registrar as the special circumstances provided do not justify the fee being waived.  
 The credit card payment authority form you provided with your application has been shredded, and a link to pay the fee through the Tribunal's online payment portal has been emailed to you at [ncatdec01020@pzgipa.com](mailto:ncatdec01020@pzgipa.com). Alternatively you can pay at your nearest NCAT registry or Service NSW.  
 If you do not pay the fee of \$438.00 by close of business 10 February 2022 the Registrar may reject and dismiss your application.  
**Registrar**  
**cskinn0**

- h. On **Feb.8,2022 (#6)** I sent an email to the NCAT presidents attention concerning
  - i. the fee waiver decision
  - i. the destruction of my legitimate CCPA and the reasons for doing so with reference to CAT Act & GSE Act legislation
- i. On **Feb 14,2022 (#7)** the registry received my 2nd resubmitted
  - i. NCAT appeal application 2020/00045500
  - ii. Credit card payment authority (CCPA) for \$438
  - iii. Sound recording application for 2021/000140934 Feb.8,2022 hearing (Montgomery)
  - iv. Credit card payment authority (CCPA) for \$45

My bank statement indicates both amounts were deductedd from my account on Feb 17,2022
- j. On **Feb 23,2022 (#9)** I received the stamped confirmation of :  
NCAT appeal application 2020/00045500
- k. On **Mar. 8,2022 (#10)** the registry received my sound recording application for:
  - i. NCAT proceedings 2022/00036436 hearing Mar.2,2022 (Suthers)
  - ii. NCAT proceedings 2020/000287835 hearing Feb.28,2022 (Mulvey)

with the \$110 credit I had with NCAT to be used as payment
- l. On **Mar.17,2022 (#11)** the registry received my:
  - i. NCAT appeal application (to be 2022/00089446)
  - ii. Fee waiver application form
  - iii. Credit card payment authority (CCPA) for \$438
- m. On **Mar.29,2022 (#12)** the tribunal received my amended appeal application (to be 2022/00089446)
- n. On **Mar.29,2022 (#13)** I received a notice from the NCAT appeal div. :  
**Case number 2022/00089446**  
**Fee Waiver Request**  
Your request to waive the fee for your application has been refused for the following reasons:  
No valid Notice of Appeal lodged.  
No special circumstances to justify fee being waived. A separate Notice of Appeal is required to be filed for each decision on appeal.  
Fee to be paid.  
If you do not pay the fee of \$438.00 by close of business 4 April 2022 the Registrar may reject and dismiss your application.  
A link to pay the fee online has been emailed to you. Alternatively you can pay at your nearest NCAT registry or Service NSW.  
Registrar  
mbechi0
- o. On **May 3,2022 (#14)** the tribunal received my amended appeal application
  - i. NCAT appeal application (to be 2022/00089446)
  - ii. Fee waiver application form
  - iii. Cheque for \$438
  - iv. Letter from CBA confirming unauthorized credit card transactions by NCAT and advising the repayment into my account of \$90
  - v. Formal complaint form

- p. On **Jul.5,2022 (#15)** the registry received my:
- i. NCAT appeal application for 2021/000337143 (to be 2022/00036436)
  - ii. Fee waiver application form
  - iii. Credit card payment authority (CCPA) for \$438
  - iv. Sound recording application for 2021/000337143 May 6,2022 GRIFFIN
  - v. Fee waiver application form
  - vi. Credit card payment authority (CCPA) for \$45
- r. On **Jul.8,2022 (#16)** I received a notice from the registry:  
**Case number 2021/000337143**  
**Fee waiver for sound recording**  
Dear Mr Zonneville  
I refer to your fee waiver application asking for fees for sound recording to be waived.  
The reasons you provided as special circumstances have been considered by the Registrar.  
Sound recording is not an essential step in the proceeding and the fee is to be paid.  
Registry will contact you regarding further processing your application.  
yours faithfully  
Registrar  
cskinn0
- s. On **Jul.8,2022 (#17)** I received a notice from the registry:  
**Case number 2022/000196935**  
Dear Mr Zonneville  
I refer to your Notice of appeal and fee waiver application. The special circumstances provided in support of the fee being waived have been considered by the Registrar. The reasons given do not support waiving of the filing fee in this case and your fee waiver request has been refused.  
Registry will process the credit card authority form you have completed and process your Notice of Appeal.  
yours faithfully  
Registrar
- t. On **Aug.2,2022 (#18)** the registry received my:
- i. NCAT appeal application for 2021/000140934
  - ii. Fee waiver application form for both appeal & sound recording
  - iii. Credit card payment authority (CCPA) for \$451
  - iv. Sound recording application for 2022/000196935 June 27,2022 SUTHERS
  - v. Credit card payment authority (CCPA) for \$45
- u. On **Aug.10,2022 (#19)** I received a notice from the registry:  
**Case number 2022/000196935**  
**Request for fee waiver - sound recording**  
Your request to waive the fee for your sound recording has been refused for the following reason:  
I am not satisfied the special circumstances provided warrant the fee to be waived. Fee to be paid.  
The fee of \$45.00 is due and payable by close of business 15 August 2022. I note you have attached a credit card payment authority form to your request for fee waiver.  
As previously advised, we no longer process payments using this form.  
We can however offer you the following options to pay the filing fee:
  - Payment online using our secure payment portal. A link to pay online can be emailed to your nominated email address.
  - Payment in person at your nearest NCAT Registry or Service NSW
  - Posting a cheque or money order to:  
NCAT PO Box K1026 Haymarket NSW 1240
If you are a pensioner or student receiving an allowance, or receiving help from a community legal centre you may be eligible to a reduced fee. For more information visit [www.ncat.nsw.gov.au](http://www.ncat.nsw.gov.au)  
Please advise by prior to 15 August 2022 if you would like Registry to email you link to make payment online.  
The credit card payment authority form will be securely destroyed.  
2 of 2  
Registrar  
mbechi0

v. On **Aug.10,2022 (#20)** I received a notice from the registry:

**Case number 2022/000229339**

**Request for fee waiver**

Your request to waive the fee for your application has been refused for the following reason:  
I am not satisfied the special circumstances provided warrant the fee to be waived. Fee to be paid.  
If you do not pay the fee of \$451.00 by close of business 15 August 2022 the Registrar may reject and dismiss your application.

I note you have attached a credit card payment authority form to your request for fee waiver. As previously advised, we no longer process payments using this form. We can however offer you the following options to pay the filing fee:

- Payment online using our secure payment portal. A link to pay online can be emailed to your nominated email address.
- Payment in person at your nearest NCAT Registry or Service NSW
- Posting a cheque or money order to

NCAT PO Box K1026 Haymarket NSW 1240

If you are a pensioner or student receiving an allowance, or receiving help from a community legal centre you may be eligible to a reduced fee. For more information visit [www.ncat.nsw.gov.au](http://www.ncat.nsw.gov.au)  
Please advise by prior to 15 August 2022 if you would like Registry to email you link to make payment online.

The credit card payment authority form will be securely destroyed.

2 of 2

Registrar

mbechi0

## 8. Systemic abuses of authority

I refer to the following correspondence:

**Letter from Szczygielski (#21)**

Ref C22/192

Undated

**Email from Clegg (#22)**

Ref: **Attn NCAT President / Dep.Sec.Justice / Attorney General COMPLAINT  
alleged harassment / unlawful conduct by Michele Bechini**

2022 08 23

Clegg writes:

### A. Dear Mr Zonneville,

I refer to your email of 14 August 2022 in which you seek review of the decision made to refuse your fee waiver request. I have also clarified the payment options available to you and reiterate the contact restrictions previously implemented.

#### Review fee waiver decision

**I have reviewed your request for fee waiver.** ...Your request outlines numerous reasons why you say **I do not consider the reasons put by you justify the waiving of the fees.**

**Case: 2021/00140934, Peter Zonneville v Secretary, Department of Education**

- Fee waiver request for sound recording – refused – Sound recording will not be provided

**Case: 2022/00196935, Peter Zonneville v Secretary, Department of Education**

- Fee waiver request for sound recording – refused – Sound recording will not be provided

**Case: 2022/00229339, Peter Zonneville v Secretary, Department of Education**

– Fee waiver for Notice of Appeal – refused – Application rejected and dismissed

- a. CAT Regulations Sect.6.5.a. are clear that the fee waiver decision authority is that of the principal registrar
- b. The fee waiver form (Ref.5.h.) again confirms that the fee waiver authority is that of the principal registrar
- c. The above legislation & procedures make it clear that the principal registrar is the proper person to review the fee waiver decision
- d. Procedural requirements are that a more senior officer conduct a review of a decision. Clegg appears to be stating that the decision maker is neither a principal registrar nor a deputy registrar
- e. Clegg is a GSE Act employed officer and an experienced qualified legal practitioner. Her conduct is alleged to be unlawful

### B. Method of payment of fees

We do accept the credit card authority by post.

I note you attach a fee waiver request and a credit card authority form with your applications for service (sound recording) and your applications to initiate proceedings.

In the event the fee waiver is refused you do not give clear instructions that registry is authorised to process the fee using the credit card authority.

- a. **In substantially EVERY fee waiver refusal, there is NO REQUEST from the registry officer for the applicant to provide those “clear instructions” required for permission to use the submitted CCPA**
- b. The fee waiver application form has explicit information **IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FEE WAIVERS**
  3. The fee waiver request form should be given to the Tribunal at the same time as your application.
  - 4. If your request for fee waiver is not approved, you will need to pay the fee.**
- c. The CCPA has been submitted **WITH** the fee waiver application  
Any reasonably minded person would easily conclude that that CCPA is provided on the understanding that should the fee waiver be refused, then
  - i. the CCPA would be required for the payment of the requested application
  - ii. the applicant has clearly given the authority to use that CCPA as it submitted **WITH** both the Application form & the Fee Waiver Application form

- e. On **Nov.30,2021 (#23)** I received a notice from Clegg

**Case number 2021/000337135**

**Request for fee waiver and written reasons**

I refer to your email of Saturday 27th November 2021 regarding the refusal of your request for a fee waiver in the above case and a request for written reasons for this decision.

**Decisions to grant or not grant a fee waiver are administrative decisions and the Registrar's decision is not an appealable decision and cannot be reviewed by the Tribunal.**

The administrative decision of the Registrar does not enliven s62 of the Civil and Administrative Tribunal Act 2013, and further written reasons will not be provided.

As stated in the written advice sent to you on 26 November 2021, if the fee is not paid by 1 December for this application it will be rejected and dismissed.

Yours faithfully,

Registrar

lclegg0

Clegg confirms that the fee waiver decision authority lies with the registry.

- i. NCAT procedural clearly state that where a fee waiver has been refused, the fee is required to be paid.
- ii. Payment has been clearly provided by the applicant with the inclusion of the CCPA with the application & the fee waiver.
- iii. The NCAT procedures do not require those "clear instructions"

Clegg is a GSE Act employed officer and an experienced qualified legal practitioner. Her conduct constitutes unlawful conduct

- C.** On two occasions after registry processed the fee and provided you sound recording by express post you contacted your bank and advised that the transactions were unauthorised because **"Documentation not supplied in timeframe"**.

- a. This is again a falsehood perpetrated by both Szczygielski & Clegg  
On **May 5,2022 (#24)** the registry received my emailed formal complaint against Bechini for unauthorized use of my credit card details.  
The formal complaint makes it clear in no uncertain terms that Bechini used my credit card details **WITHOUT** my authorization.
- b. According to my records I have to-date **NOT** received any formal confirmation of receipt of my formal complaint against Bechini.  
**THIS PROVIDES ME WITH LEGITIMATE & REASONABLE GROUNDS TO HAVE SERIOUS CONCERNS WITH THE INTEGRITY OF THE PRINCIPAL REGISTRAR SZCZYGIELSKI AND THE INTEGRITY OF FUNCTIONS EXERCISED BY THE REGISTRY CONCERNING MY NCAT MATTERS**  
The registry is the gateway to exercising GIPA Act / PIPP Act / CAT Act / ADR Act and other legislative & procedural review rights.  
The misconduct of Szczygielski, Bechini, Clegg, Skinner, Harrower & other registry staff is clearly **A PUBLIC INTEREST ISSUE**
- c. On **Apr.30,2022 (#25)** I received a letter from the CBA:  
**A refund is on its way to you**  
Here's some good news - we've completed our investigation into your recent transaction dispute and will be refunding you the money. We'll deposit the money into your debit card account within the next 3 business days including any associated fees and interest. Thanks for your patience.  
**Here are your details**  
**Case number: 10808931**

This is confirmation that my credit card details were unlawfully used by Bechini

- D. As a result**, NCAT will only accept payment from you by cheque/money order, use of the Secure payment portal or you may pay at an NCAT registry or ServiceNSW. **NCAT will not accept or process payment using the credit card authority form.**

I acknowledge the information provided to you on 10 August 2022 regarding the credit card payment form was not explained fully.

- a. In Szczygielskis UNDATED LETTER Ref.C22/192 (#21) she states:

**Unauthorized transactions**

You say you noticed two unauthorized transaction on your bank account statement for sound recordings fees by NCAT.

**I note that on Apr.9,2022 you emailed the registry and requested they use the credit card details you had supplied to process fees for the sound recordings for applications 2022/00036436 & 2020/000287835.**

- i. I include a copy of the Apr.9,2022 (#26) email:

**From:** <ncatdec012020@pzgipa.com>

**To:** <ap@ncat.nsw.gov.au>

**Cc:** <ncatdec012020@pzgipa.com>

**Sent:** 9/04/2022 5:15 PM

**Subject:** URGENT re sound recordings NCAT Appeal Panel 2022/00036436-001 - Peter Zonneville v Secretary, Department of Education - Generic Correspondence

To whom it may concern.

**1. I request that you use the current credit I have with NCAT to provide payment for the two sound recordings requested**

2. I will fill in the payment refund form for the outstanding balance

A. This credit was caused by your error,not mine

B. The CAT Act requires "quick,cheap,minimal formality" principles  
The above is consistent with these principles

C. I DO NOT WANT TO MAKE INTERNET PAYMENTS.

THERE ARE HIGHER RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH INTERNET TRANSACTIONS  
THEY HAVE BEEN UNREASONABLY DELAYED.

THE ALLEGED CORRUPT WESTGARTH STATED THAT "DELAYS WITH RECORDING  
ACCESS COULD BE ADDRESSED BY REQUESTING URGENT ACCESS"

(DESPITE THE FACT THAT PERMITTING PERMISSION TO RECORD THE PROCEEDINGS  
WOULD BE QUICKER,CHEAPER & WITH FAR LESS FORMALITY THAN THIS PROCESS)

E. I DO NOT WANT TO DOWNLOAD SOUND RECORDINGS

I. WE HAVE EXPERIENCED BIG PROBLEMS TRYING TO DOWNLOAD LARGE FILES

II. I DO NOT WANT MY PRIVATE DETAILS TO BE ASSOCIATED WITH ANY DOWNLOADING  
WEBSITE / APPLICATION

I await your earliest response

Sincerely

Peter Zonneville

victim of NCAT misconduct / alleged corruption

- ii. This clearly **DOES NOT** give any such authority for using the applicants credit card details in payment for those requested sound recordings
- Szczygielski has perpetrated misconduct establishing a blatant FALSEHOOD
  - GSE Act employed Szczygielski has blatantly breach her LEGISLATED MANDATORY CONDUCT OBLIGATIONS pursuant to GSE Act Sect.7 and her agency codes of conduct
  - This unlawful FALSEHOOD is the basis of serious harassment against myself
  - GSE Act employed Clegg is a party to this serious misconduct & serious harassment of the applicant.
- iii. GSE Act employed Szczygielski & Clegg have clearly breached their GSE Act LEGISLATED MANDATORY CONDUCT OBLIGATIONS pursuant to GSE Act SEct.7



b. In Szczygielskis UNDATED LETTER Ref.C22/192 she further states:

**Unauthorized transactions**

You were advised by the registry on Apr.20,2022 that fee totalling \$90 for the two sound recordings would be charged.

This is patently false and demonstrates Szczygielskis disregard for the fact.

On **Apr.20,2022 (#27)** I received the following email from Bechini:

On 2022-04-20 02:31, NCATAdministrativeandEqualOpportunity wrote:

Dear Mr Zonneville,

**I refer to your request below.**

We will use the credit card details provided by you and process the following two sound recordings:  
 2022/00036436 Peter Zonneville v Secretary, Department of Education (PM Suthers 2/3/2022) \$45.00  
 2020/000287835 Peter Zonneville v Minister for Ed. Early Childhood Learning (SM Mulvey 28/2/2022) \$45.00  
 The total to be processed is \$90.00

In reference to the refund of \$110.00 I note you have advised Registry that you will complete the refund request form.

Thank you.

Regards,

**Michelle Bechini | Senior Case Management Officer**

NSW Civil and Administrative Tribunal (NCAT)

Administrative & Equal Opportunity Division, Occupational Division & Appeals

Level 10 John Maddison Tower, 86-90 Goulburn Street, Sydney 2000

Email: aeod@ncat.nsw.gov.au Ph: 02 9307 8790

Web: www.ncat.nsw.gov.au | Follow us on Twitter

**From:** ncatdec012020@pzgipa.com <ncatdec012020@pzgipa.com>

**Sent:** Saturday, 9 April 2022 5:16 PM

**To:** NCATAppeals <ap@ncat.nsw.gov.au>

**Cc:** ncatdec012020@pzgipa.com

**Subject:** URGENT re sound recordings NCAT Appeal Panel 2022/00036436-001 - Peter Zonneville v Secretary, Department of Education - Generic Correspondence

**Importance:** High

To whom it may concern.

**1. I request that you use the current credit I have with NCAT to provide payment for the two sound recordings requested**

2. I will fill in the payment refund form for the outstanding balance

A. This credit was caused by your error,not mine

B. The CAT Act requires "quick,cheap,minimal formality" principles

The above is consistent with these principles

C. I DO NOT WANT TO MAKE INTERNET PAYMENTS.THERE ARE HIGHER RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH INTERNET TRANSACTIONS

D. I REQUIRE THESE SOUND RECORDINGS URGENTLY.THEY HAVE BEEN UNREASONABLY DELAYED.

THE ALLEGED CORRUPT WESTGARTH STATED THAT "DELAYS WITH RECORDING ACCESS COULD BE ADDRESSED BY REQUESTING URGENT ACCESS"

(DESPITE THE FACT THAT PERMITTING PERMISSION TO RECORD THE PROCEEDINGS WOULD BE QUICKER,CHEAPER & WITH FAR LESS FORMALITY THAN THIS PROCESS)

E. I DO NOT WANT TO DOWNLOAD SOUND RECORDINGS

I. WE HAVE EXPERIENCED BIG PROBLEMS TRYING TO DOWNLOAD LARGE FILES

II. I DO NOT WANT MY PRIVATE DETAILS TO BE ASSOCIATED WITH ANY DOWNLOADING WEBSITE / APPLICATION

I await your earliest response

Sincerely

Peter Zonneville

victim of NCAT misconduct / alleged corruption

i. Szczygielski has perpetrated misconduct establishing a blatant FALSEHOOD

ii. GSE Act employed Szczygielski has blatantly breach her LEGISLATED MANDATORY CONDUCT OBLIGATIONS pursuant to GSE Act Sect.7 and her agency codes of conduct

iii. This unlawful FALSEHOOD is the basis of serious harassment against myself

iv. GSE Act employed Bechini is a party to this serious misconduct & serious harassment of the applicant.

c. In Szczygielskis UNDATED LETTER Ref.C22/192 she further states:

**Unauthorized transactions**

It is also noted that you only contacted the registry after they had closed on Apr.20,2022 to advise them that you wanted to use the credit that you had to pay for the two sound

According to the details of my **Apr.20,2022 (#28)** email to Bechini:

From: <ncatdec012020@pzgipa.com>  
 To: NCATAdministrativeandEqualOpportunity <aeod@ncat.nsw.gov.au>  
 Cc: <ncatdec012020@pzgipa.com>

**Sent: 20/04/2022 4:43 PM**

Subject: Re: URGENT re sound recordings NCAT Appeal Panel 2022/00036436-001 - Peter Zonneville v Secretary, Department of Education - Generic Correspondence

Attn Ms Bechini,

I request that you use \$90 from the credit for those requested sound recordings.

I will then fill in the refund form for the remaining balance.

Sincerely

Peter Zonneville

victim of NCAT misconduct / alleged corruption

This seems to contradict Szczygileskis account that the registry was already closed.

- i. It is noted that even having received this email that no further response was received from Bechini confirming my request
- ii. It is noted that Bechini did not attempt to cancel or reverse the credit card payment as she was required to do.
- iii. It is noted that GSE Act employed Szczygileski has uses this improper registry conduct to deliberately & maliciously:
  - a. refuse my legitimate CCPAs in multiple proceedings
  - b. subject me to serious harassment
  - c. cause me a economic detriment
  - d. cause me physical & mental detriment
- iv. It is noted that GSE Act employed Clegg has uses this improper registry conduct to deliberately & maliciously:
  - a. refuse my legitimate CCPAs in multiple proceedings
  - b. subject me to serious harassment
  - c. cause me a economic detriment
  - d. cause me physical & mental detriment
- v. It is noted that GSE Act employed Bechini has uses this improper registry conduct to deliberately & maliciously:
  - a. refuse my legitimate CCPAs in multiple proceedings
  - b. subject me to serious harassment
  - c. cause me a economic detriment
  - d. cause me physical & mental detriment

9. **BACKGROUND** Refusal to accept correspondence which includes emails with members / registry officer names

**Email from Clegg (#22)**

Ref: Attn NCAT President / Dep.Sec.Justice / Attorney General COMPLAINT  
alleged harassment / unlawful conduct by Michele Bechini

2022 08 23

Clegg writes:

**Multiple emails**

On 9 December 2021 you were advised by the Principal Registrar that contact restrictions had been implemented.

I confirm again, any emails sent to email boxes other than the following will not be actioned.

- A.** Emails to personal email addresses of NCAT staff or members will be blocked.
- i. I **CATEGORICALLY** state that I have **NEVER** sent an email to a personal email address of either a NCAT registry officer **OR** NCAT member!!!
  - ii. Clegg is again creating a **DELIBERATE FALSEHOOD**
    - a. Louise.Clegg@ncat.nsw.gov.au is a **WORKPLACE EMAIL ADDRESS**
    - b. Cathy.Szczygielski@ncat.nsw.gov.au is a **WORKPLACE EMAIL ADDRESS**
    - c. Theresa.Simon@ncat.nsw.gov.au is a **WORKPLACE EMAIL ADDRESS**
    - d. Justice.Armstrong@ncat.nsw.gov.au is a **WORKPLACE EMAIL ADDRESS**
  - iii. Clegg has indicated that the tribunal & it's staff has the ability to **BLOCK UNWANTED** emails.  
Should those members & staff **NOT BLOCK** any unsolicited emails from the applicant, then it is clear that there is **NO PERCEIVED IMPROPRIETY** perpetrated by the applicants email
  - iv. Clegg has indicated that the tribunal & it's staff has the ability to **BLOCK UNWANTED** emails.  
Should those members & staff feel harassed or otherwise by any unsolicited email, they clearly have the ability to:
    - a. reply to the sender to cease & desist and / or
    - b. block that email address associated with the unsolicited email
  - v. **I HAVE GRAVE FEARS THAT SZCZYGIELSKI, CLEGG & OTHER GSE ACT EMPLOYED OFFICERS HAVE A CLEAR CONFLICT OF INTEREST IN ENSURING THAT NO OTHER MORE SENIOR NCAT OFFICIALS ARE NOTIFIED OF THEIR MISCONDUCT AND / OR ACKNOWLEDGE SUCH MISCONDUCT. THIS SUBMISSION HAS PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE THAT SERIOUS MISCONDUCT IS BEING PERPETRATED BY NCAT REGISTRY OFFICERS INCLUDING (FORMER) PRINCIPAL REGISTRAR SZCZYGIELSKI.**
  - vi. There is more than enough reasonable grounds for the applicant to ensure that legitimate formal complaints & legislative / procedural rights are not unlawfully abused and unlawfully blocked by GSE Act employed officers such as Szczygileski.  
There is a clear public interest in those senior administrators such as the NCAT president / dep.president & division head are informed of that abuse
- B.** You have been informed previously that we cannot include multiple email addresses for one case. Currently you provide an email address on the fee waiver form and two further and different email addresses on the corresponding application.  
In the future, registry will choose the first email listed as the default address.
- i. there are reasonable grounds not to believe this statement.
  - ii. In the alternative, the registry has indicated that they have the authority & ability to make a choice.

- B.** Any emails received from you where you have used a staff member or Tribunal members' name as part of the address will be blocked and not actioned.
- i. I do not think that the public would accept that GSE Act employed registry officers have the authority to dictate what email address a party may use for proceedings where:
    - a. the applicant uses email addresses which assist in the identification of the matter
    - b. the applicant uses email addresses which assist in the identification of a complaint
    - c. members & registry staff members exercise functions in multiple matters which makes the administration of tracking complaints confusing
    - d. there is no evidence of health or safety issues
    - e. any health & safety issues cited are not properly explained or supported by evidence such as formal complaints from the relevant officers
    - f. an officer or member whose name is related that an email address has NOT objected to that email address being used
    - g. that email address is not being used improperly or breaches "decency" obligations
  - ii. I do not accept that the registry has the authority to dictate what email address a party may use for proceedings
    - a. the applicant uses email addresses which assist in the identification of the matter
    - b. the applicant uses email addresses which assist in the identification of a complaint
    - c. members & registry staff members exercise functions in multiple matters which makes the administration of tracking complaints confusing
    - d. there is no evidence of health or safety issues
    - e. any health & safety issues cited are not properly explained or supported by evidence such as formal complaints from the relevant officers
    - f. an officer or member whose name is related that an email address has NOT objected to that email address being used
    - g. that email address is not being used improperly or breaches "decency" obligations
  - iii. Where email addresses have been provided which contain registry officer or member names, GSE Act employed officer Szczygielski has:
    - a. **NOT** demonstrated any health & safety issues
    - b. **NOT** provided any evidence of any health & safety issue
    - c. **NOT** not demonstrated that she is fit & proper person to make such a decision
    - d. **NO** legislative authority to deny a party those legislative rights provided under CAT Act Sect.62
    - e. **NO** legislative authority to deny a party those legislative rights provided under CAT Act Sect.54
    - f. **NO** legislative authority to deny a party the legitimate submission of applications in proceedings where it conforms to the agency's procedural guidelines
  - iv. Where email addresses have been provided which contain registry officer or member names, GSE Act employed officer Clegg has:
    - a. **NOT** demonstrated any health & safety issues
    - b. **NOT** provided any evidence of any health & safety issue
    - c. **NOT** not demonstrated that she is fit & proper person to make such a decision
    - d. **NO** legislative authority to deny a party those legislative rights provided under CAT Act Sect.62
    - e. **NO** legislative authority to deny a party those legislative rights provided under CAT Act Sect.54
    - f. **NO** legislative authority to deny a party the legitimate submission of applications in proceedings where it conforms to the agency's procedural guidelines

The conduct of functions exercised by registry GSE Act employed officers demonstrates petty, unreasonable punitive actions & unlawful conduct based on FALSEHOODS and constitutes abuses of authority / misconduct in public office

- v. This statement:
- a. **LACKS CONSISTENCY**
  - b. is used to justify unlawful & serious abuses of authority / misconduct in public office to deliberately & maliciously
    - i. deny me my legitimate legislative rights
    - ii. deny me my legitimate procedural rights
    - iii. subject me to serious harassment
    - iv. cause me a economic detriment
    - v. cause me physical & mental detriment

I refer to correspondence in proceedings 2022/000196935:

NCAT correspondence sent to GRIFFIN@ PZGIPA.COM:

-  2022 07 08 Generic Correspondence 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2022 07 13 Notice of Listing 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2022 07 28 Notice of Listing 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2022 08 10 Generic Correspondence 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2022 08 18 Generic Correspondence 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2022 10 31 Request for Adjournment 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2022 11 01 Generic Correspondence 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2022 11 07 Notice of Listing - Hearing 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2022 11 07 Order 202 000196935.pdf
-  2023 01 06 Notice of Listing 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2023 01 06 Generic Correspondence 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2023 01 16 Notice 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2023 01 16 Request for Adjournment 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2023 01 19 Generic Correspondence 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2023 01 24 Generic Correspondence 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2023 01 24 Virtual Hearing Details 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2023 01 31 Listing 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2023 01 31 ORDER 2022 000196935.pdf

vii. On **Feb.1,2023 (#32)** I received a notice from Skinner

**Case number 2021/000140934**

**Generic correspondence**

Dear Mr Zonneville,

I refer to your request for sound recording, request for fee waiver, reasons for applying for a fee waiver for sound recording, credit card payment authority form and General application form received by post by the tribunal on 27 January 2023.

In these documents, you have indicated you would like all NCAT Notices and Correspondence emailed to you. The email address you have provided includes the name of a Tribunal Member. You have been previously advised on numerous occasions and in particular on 23 August 2022 that no action will be taken on any correspondence that includes the name of a tribunal member in the email address.

You have also been advised NCAT will only accept payment from you by cheque/money order, use of the Secure payment portal or you may pay at an NCAT registry. NCAT will not accept or process payment using the credit card authority form.

The documents listed will be returned to you by post.

yours faithfully

Registrar

cskinn0

- a. Skinner refers to GRIFFIN@PZGIPA.COM
- b. On **Nov.7,2022 (#30)** Principal Member Suthers used the same email address GRIFFIN@PZGIPA.COM to send the applicant ORDERS
- c. On **Jan.31,2023 (#31)** Principal Member Suthers used the same email address GRIFFIN@PZGIPA.COM to send the applicant ORDERS

It is noted that GSE Act employed Skinner has uses this improper registry conduct to deliberately & maliciously:

- a. deny me my legislative & procedural rights
- b. subject me to serious harassment
- c. cause me a economic detriment
- d. cause me physical & mental detriment

viii. On **Aug.28,2022 (#22R)** I sent the attached letter to GSE Act employed Clegg in response to her **Aug.23,2022 (#22)** email.

A formal complaint against GSE Act employed Clegg was also lodged

- a. To date no response has been received from GSE Act employed Clegg
- b. To date no response has been received acknowledging the formal complaint

There is a clear conflict of interest in the registry unjustly / unlawfully blocking emails

## 10. YOUR RESPONSE

Ms Brazel,

In your response I request you confirm:

- a. those **6. AGREED FACTS**  
Should you disagree with any of those statement of facts, please provide detailed supporting evidence as to why they are not true / not factual
- b. & provide any evidence that the registry has sought any legal action or otherwise to recover those funds said to have been improperly refused by myself in payment for 2 sound recordings by Szczygielski in her UNDATED letter Ref.C22/192 (#26)
- c. that GSE Act employed officer Szczygielskis grounds for refusing my legitimate CCPAs is based on a **FALSEHOOD**
- d. that GSE Act employed officer Cleggs grounds for refusing my legitimate CCPAs is based on a **FALSEHOOD**
- e. that Bechini used my credit card details **WITHOUT** my express authority.  
Please also provide details on whether those credit card details used were stored by the registry or taken from another CCPA?
- f. that I had the legitimate right to have those transactions dealt with by my bank
- g. that those grounds used for the refusal of my Credit card Payment Authorities are false and improper
- h. that GSE Act employed registry officers Szczygielski & Clegg have breached their **LEGISLATED MANDATORY CONDUCT OBLIGATIONS** pursuant to GSE Act Sect.7
- i. that GSE Act employed registry officers Szczygielski & Clegg have breached their **LEGISLATED MANDATORY CONDUCT OBLIGATIONS** pursuant to GSE Act Sect.7
- j. that GSE Act employed registry officers Szczygielski & Clegg have unjustly / unlawfully sought to
  - a. refuse my legitimate legislative & proedural rights in multiple proceedings
  - b. sought to deny me evidence which I would rely on in proceedings  
(sound recordings. In particular the May 6, 2022 GRIFFIN sound recording required for proceeding s2022/000196935)
  - c. subject me to serious harassment
  - d. cause me a economic detriment
  - e. cause me physical & mental detriment
- j. whether the conduct of GSE Act employed officers Szczygielski, Clegg, Bechini is consistent with that
  - i. required by the tribunal / that of GSE Act employed officers
  - ii. in the interest of any party to proceedings
  - iii. with the public trust placed in the tribunal
  - iv. promotes NCAT services and compliance by agency officers as required by legislation
  - v. fulfills the statutory obligation to promote the object of the CAT & ADR Acts
- k. I have reasonable & legitimate grounds to have sought to bring serious misconduct / unlawful conduct of those GSE Act employed registry officers to the attention of the NCAT president, dep. president & div. head
- l. There are reasonable & sufficient grounds to have GSE Act employed officers Szczygielski, Clegg, Bechini & Skinner referred to the OLSC and / or the Attorney General and / or the Minister and / or the DPP and / or ICAC or any other relevant conduct oversight agency

- m. My proceedings 2022/00036436 & 2022/000196935 have been compromised by GSE Act employed officers Szczygielski, Clegg, Bechini & Skinner by obstructing my access to evidence (sound recordings)
- n. My legislative & procedural rights have been abused by the failure of GSE Act employed officers Szczygielski, Clegg, Bechini & Skinner to exercise their functions impartially and without prejudice

Please note that I reserve the right to make allegations about apprehended / actual complicity related to the unlawful misconduct / abuse of authority / misconduct in public office.

I request that you exercise the utmost integrity in your response & provide a detailed response that reasonably & adequately addresses each of the matters I have raised.

I thank you in anticipation of your kind assistance in resolving these very (very) serious issues