

To: Michelle Brazel
Principal Registrar NCAT
aeod@ncat.nsw.gov.au

Feb.4,2023

1

URGENT

Ms Brazel,

I am forced to write this letter as a result of what I perceive as systemic deliberate, malicious misconduct being perpetrated against me by GSE Act employed registry officers.

1. You are informed that this deliberate & malicious misconduct is perceived as serious harassment & unlawful discrimination causing me:
 - a. unnecessary & detrimental effects to my mental & physical health
 - b. economic detriments
 - c. unnecessary & unwanted delays in my multiple forced proceedings

This should already be known by you as

- c. some of the following issues have occurred since you assumed the position of NCAT principal registrar.
 - d. it would not be unreasonable for me to assume that you have either been
 - i. briefed by the former principal registrar Szczygielski and / or
 - ii. there are directives in my files which you have no doubt reviewed
2. I refer to a number of restrictions you / your predecessor (Ms Szczygielski) have imposed on me including the registry's refusal to accept :
 - a. numerous legitimate credit card payment authorities
 - b. numerous legitimate applications for current proceedings
 - c. numerous legitimate applications for new proceedings
 - d. email addresses used by me for specific proceedings
 - e. email addresses used by me for various complaints
 - f. correspondence from those legitimate email addresses including:
 - i. my exercising of legislative rights under CAT Act Sect.62
 - ii. my exercising of procedural rights to make formal complaints against members // registry officers
 3. I believe that many / all of the above restrictions are unlawful which I will substantiate with the attached evidence.
 4. I request your proper & detailed response provided to you as a right of reply.

5. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THESE SERIOUS ISSUES ARE IMPACTING MY CURRENT PROCEEDINGS.**

THIS ABUSE OF AUTHORITY / MISCONDUCT IN PUBLIC OFFICE HAS BEEN BEING PERPETRATED BY FAR TOO LONG.

I REQUEST :

- A. CONFIRMATION OF RECEIPT OF THIS COMPLAINT BY MICHELLE BRAZEL WITHIN 2 WORKING DAYS**
- B. A REASONABLE, PROPERLY DETAILED & ADEQUATE RESPONSE WITHIN 7 DAYS**

IF THESE REQUESTS ARE UNREASONABLE & IMPROPER PLEASE STATE THOSE REASONS WHY IN YOUR CONFIRMATION OF RECEIPT

1

6. Request for AGREED FACTS

Would you please confirm by reply in writing :

- a. that you are aware of those restrictions 2.a. to f. being imposed on my by you /your NCAT registry officers?
- b. that you are a GSE Act employed officer and therefore subject to those LEGISLATED MANDATORY CONDUCT OBLIGATIONS pursuant to GSE Act Sect.7?
- c. that you are subject to the DoJ agency codes of conduct and other relevant conduct guidelines?
- d. similarly,the NCAT registry officers are
 - i. GSE Act employed officers and therefore subject to those LEGISLATED MANDATORY CONDUCT OBLIGATIONS pursuant to GSE Act Sect.7?
 - and
 - ii. subject to the DoJ agency codes of conduct and other relevant conduct guidelines?
- e. NCAT procedures provide that any party required to pay a NCAT fee can seek a fee waiver / reduction in fee by submission of a NCAT fee waiver application form?
- f. The NCAT fee waiver form provides the applicant a fee waiver / reduction in fee based on:
 - i. financial hardship?
 - AND
 - ii. "special circumstances"?
- g. the prescribed authority to decided a fee waiver or reduction in fees is that of the principal registrar pursuant to Civil & Adminstrative Regulations Sect.6.5.a.?
- h. the prescibed authority to decided a fee waiver or reduction in fees is that of the principal registrar pursuant to NCAT fee waiver procedures as detailed on the NCAT fee waiver application form (November 2016)?

2. REASON FOR APPLYING FOR A FEE WAIVER

The Principal Registrar may waive a fee in full, or reduce the amount you have to pay, if satisfied there are special circumstances. Please indicate which of the following circumstances you are applying for a fee waiver:

- i. Numerous / substantially all of my fee waiver applications have been decided by a registry officer who is:
 - i. not the principal registrar?
 - ii. subordinate to the principal registrar?
- j. Many of my legitimate credit card payment authorities have been shredded / destroyed without being processed?
- k. Legitimate applications requiring the payment of a fee submitted with those shredded / destroyed legitimate credit card payment applications were denied as a consequence of "no fee having been paid"?
- m. Payment of NCAT fee(s) by the submission of the completed NCAT Credit Card Payment Authority form posted to NCAT is an accepted payment method?
- n. NCAT registry officers,including the principal registrar have the statutory obligation to promote the object of the Civil & Adminstrative Tribunals Act Sect.?
- o. On Jan,10,2022,registrar kmcnam0 required me to make a payment of \$110 ?
- p. As of Jan.12,2022,NCAT had received a payment of \$110 from me?
- r. Email addresses such as "michelle.brazel@ncat.nsw.gov.au" are workplace email addresses and not personal email addresses?

- s. There is no legislation which exempts NCAT registry officers (exercising their administrative functions) from those LEGISLATED MANDATORY CONDUCT OBLIGATIONS pursuant to GSE Act SEct.7?
- t. The registry does not have the legislative authority to deny a party to proceedings legislative rights pursuant to CAT Act Sect.62 & CAT Act Sect.54?
- u. Conduct whereby a GSE Act employed registry officers breaches their LEGISLATED MANDATORY CONDUCT OBLIGATIONS pursuant to GSE Act SEct.7 is inconsistent with that required by the tribunal?
- v. Restrictions imposed on a party whose grounds are based on a FALSEHOOD / UNLAWFUL CONDUCT are inconsistent with that required by the tribunal?
- w. The registry does not have the legislative authority to dictate what email address a party may or may not use where the grounds for doing so are based on a FALSEHOOD / UNLAWFUL CONDUCT.?
- x. Should the registry have the legislative authority to dictate what email address a party may or may not use there must be reasonable & legitimate grounds, supported by evidence to impose any restriction?
- y. A GSE Act employed registry officer should not impose any unjust or unlawful or unreasonable restrictions on a party where they are not exercising their functions impartially?

7. BACKGROUND Refusal to accept legitimate credit card payment applications

- a. On **Dec.29,2021** I Express Posted the following documents to the tribunal:
- NCAT appeal application 2020/00045500
 - Fee waiver application form
 - Credit card payment authority (CCPA) for \$438
- b. On **Jan.10,2022 (DOC.#1)** I received a notice from the NCAT appeal div. :
Case Number 2022/00005413
 Your request to waive the fee for your application has been refused for the following reasons:
 Special circumstances provided do not warrant the fee being waived. If you do not pay the fee of \$110.00 by close of business 12 January 2022 the Registrar may reject and dismiss your application. You can pay the fee online via our payment portal. A link will be emailed to you today. Alternatively you can pay by credit card by calling NCAT on telephone 1300 006 228 or make payment in person at your nearest NCAT registry or Service NSW. For more information regarding our fees, please visit www.ncat.nsw.gov.au
Registrar
kmcnam0
- this notice made no reference to the legitimate CCPA that was provide with the above application nor any explanation as to why the payment of \$438 was refused
 - I therefore reasonably concluded that the requirement to pay \$110 instead of the standard \$438 fee was a decision by the principal registrar to provide a reduction in the application fee (but not waiving the total fee)
- c. On **Jan 12,2022 (#2)**, in order to expedite matters & accept the reduction in the application fee I agreed (in this instance only) to pay by the payment portal
NOTE: Payment by CCPA is my preferred method as I consider it both:
- the most secure AND
 - the most convenient method (as I have to post in the other forms anyway) AND
 - the quickest & with least formality
- d. On **Jan 12,2022 (#3)** I received a response from kmcnam0 stating I was required to pay a further \$328 for the application fee
- e. I declined to make the payment as I had (and have) grave concerns that I am being deliberately & maliciously harassed by NCAT registry officers.
 There is no logical or credible reason for them to have refused to process my legitimate CCPA for the \$438 as required.
- f. On **Feb 4,2022 (#4)** the registry received my resubmitted
- NCAT appeal application 2020/00045500
 - Fee waiver application form
 - Credit card payment authority (CCPA) for \$438
- g. On **Feb.8,2022 (#5)** I received a notice from the registry:
Case number 2022/00036436
Notice of Appeal
 Dear Mr Zonneville,
 I refer to your Notice of Appeal and Request for Fee Waiver.
 Your fee waiver request has been refused by the Registrar as the special circumstances provided do not justify the fee being waived.
 The credit card payment authority form you provided with your application has been shredded, and a link to pay the fee through the Tribunal's online payment portal has been emailed to you at ncatdec01020@pzgipa.com. Alternatively you can pay at your nearest NCAT registry or Service NSW.
 If you do not pay the fee of \$438.00 by close of business 10 February 2022 the Registrar may reject and dismiss your application.
Registrar
cskinn0

- h. On **Feb.8,2022 (#6)** I sent an email to the NCAT presidents attention concerning
 - i. the fee waiver decision
 - i. the destruction of my legitimate CCPA and the reasons for doing so with reference to CAT Act & GSE Act legislation
- i. On **Feb 14,2022 (#7)** the registry received my 2nd resubmitted
 - i. NCAT appeal application 2020/00045500
 - ii. Credit card payment authority (CCPA) for \$438
 - iii. Sound recording application for 2021/000140934 Feb.8,2022 hearing (Montgomery)
 - iv. Credit card payment authority (CCPA) for \$45

My bank statement indicates both amounts were deductedd from my account on Feb 17,2022
- j. On **Feb 23,2022 (#9)** I received the stamped confirmation of :
NCAT appeal application 2020/00045500
- k. On **Mar. 8,2022 (#10)** the registry received my sound recording application for:
 - i. NCAT proceedings 2022/00036436 hearing Mar.2,2022 (Suthers)
 - ii. NCAT proceedings 2020/000287835 hearing Feb.28,2022 (Mulvey)

with the \$110 credit I had with NCAT to be used as payment
- l. On **Mar.17,2022 (#11)** the registry received my:
 - i. NCAT appeal application (to be 2022/00089446)
 - ii. Fee waiver application form
 - iii. Credit card payment authority (CCPA) for \$438
- m. On **Mar.29,2022 (#12)** the tribunal received my amended appeal application (to be 2022/00089446)
- n. On **Mar.29,2022 (#13)** I received a notice from the NCAT appeal div. :
Case number 2022/00089446
Fee Waiver Request
Your request to waive the fee for your application has been refused for the following reasons:
No valid Notice of Appeal lodged.
No special circumstances to justify fee being waived. A separate Notice of Appeal is required to be filed for each decision on appeal.
Fee to be paid.
If you do not pay the fee of \$438.00 by close of business 4 April 2022 the Registrar may reject and dismiss your application.
A link to pay the fee online has been emailed to you. Alternatively you can pay at your nearest NCAT registry or Service NSW.
Registrar
mbechi0
- o. On **May 3,2022 (#14)** the tribunal received my amended appeal application
 - i. NCAT appeal application (to be 2022/00089446)
 - ii. Fee waiver application form
 - iii. Cheque for \$438
 - iv. Letter from CBA confirming unauthorized credit card transactions by NCAT and advising the repayment into my account of \$90
 - v. Formal complaint form

- p. On **Jul.5,2022 (#15)** the registry received my:
 - i. NCAT appeal application for 2021/000337143 (to be 2022/00036436)
 - ii. Fee waiver application form
 - iii. Credit card payment authority (CCPA) for \$438
 - iv. Sound recording application for 2021/000337143 May 6,2022 GRIFFIN
 - v. Fee waiver application form
 - vi. Credit card payment authority (CCPA) for \$45

r. On **Jul.8,2022 (#16)** I received a notice from the registry:
Case number 2021/000337143
Fee waiver for sound recording
 Dear Mr Zonneville
 I refer to your fee waiver application asking for fees for sound recording to be waived. The reasons you provided as special circumstances have been considered by the Registrar. Sound recording is not an essential step in the proceeding and the fee is to be paid. Registry will contact you regarding further processing your application.
 yours faithfully
 Registrar
 cskinn0

s. On **Jul.8,2022 (#17)** I received a notice from the registry:
Case number 2022/000196935
 Dear Mr Zonneville
 I refer to your Notice of appeal and fee waiver application. The special circumstances provided in support of the fee being waived have been considered by the Registrar. The reasons given do not support waiving of the filing fee in this case and your fee waiver request has been refused. Registry will process the credit card authority form you have completed and process your Notice of Appeal.
 yours faithfully
 Registrar

- t. On **Aug.2,2022 (#18)** the registry received my:
 - i. NCAT appeal application for 2021/000140934
 - ii. Fee waiver application form for both appeal & sound recording
 - iii. Credit card payment authority (CCPA) for \$451
 - iv. Sound recording application for 2022/000196935 June 27,2022 SUTHERS
 - v. Credit card payment authority (CCPA) for \$45

u. On **Aug.10,2022 (#19)** I received a notice from the registry:
Case number 2022/000196935
Request for fee waiver - sound recording
 Your request to waive the fee for your sound recording has been refused for the following reason: I am not satisfied the special circumstances provided warrant the fee to be waived. Fee to be paid. The fee of \$45.00 is due and payable by close of business 15 August 2022. I note you have attached a credit card payment authority form to your request for fee waiver. As previously advised, we no longer process payments using this form. We can however offer you the following options to pay the filing fee:
 • Payment online using our secure payment portal. A link to pay online can be emailed to your nominated email address.
 • Payment in person at your nearest NCAT Registry or Service NSW
 • Posting a cheque or money order to:
 NCAT PO Box K1026 Haymarket NSW 1240
 If you are a pensioner or student receiving an allowance, or receiving help from a community legal centre you may be eligible to a reduced fee. For more information visit www.ncat.nsw.gov.au
 Please advise by prior to 15 August 2022 if you would like Registry to email you link to make payment online.
 The credit card payment authority form will be securely destroyed.
 2 of 2
 Registrar
 mbechi0

v. On **Aug.10,2022 (#20)** I received a notice from the registry:

Case number 2022/000229339

Request for fee waiver

Your request to waive the fee for your application has been refused for the following reason:
I am not satisfied the special circumstances provided warrant the fee to be waived. Fee to be paid.
If you do not pay the fee of \$451.00 by close of business 15 August 2022 the Registrar may reject and dismiss your application.

I note you have attached a credit card payment authority form to your request for fee waiver. As previously advised, we no longer process payments using this form. We can however offer you the following options to pay the filing fee:

- Payment online using our secure payment portal. A link to pay online can be emailed to your nominated email address.
- Payment in person at your nearest NCAT Registry or Service NSW
- Posting a cheque or money order to
NCAT PO Box K1026 Haymarket NSW 1240

If you are a pensioner or student receiving an allowance, or receiving help from a community legal centre you may be eligible to a reduced fee. For more information visit www.ncat.nsw.gov.au
Please advise by prior to 15 August 2022 if you would like Registry to email you link to make payment online.

The credit card payment authority form will be securely destroyed.

2 of 2

Registrar

mbechi0

8. Systemic abuses of authority

I refer to the following correspondence:

Letter from Szczygielski (#21)

Ref C22/192

Undated

Email from Clegg (#22)

Ref: **Attn NCAT President / Dep.Sec.Justice / Attorney General COMPLAINT
alleged harassment / unlawful conduct by Michele Bechini**

2022 08 23

Clegg writes:

A. Dear Mr Zonneville,

I refer to your email of 14 August 2022 in which you seek review of the decision made to refuse your fee waiver request. I have also clarified the payment options available to you and reiterate the contact restrictions previously implemented.

Review fee waiver decision

I have reviewed your request for fee waiver. ...Your request outlines numerous reasons why you say **I do not consider the reasons put by you justify the waiving of the fees.**

Case: 2021/00140934, Peter Zonneville v Secretary, Department of Education

- Fee waiver request for sound recording – refused – Sound recording will not be provided

Case: 2022/00196935, Peter Zonneville v Secretary, Department of Education

- Fee waiver request for sound recording – refused – Sound recording will not be provided

Case: 2022/00229339, Peter Zonneville v Secretary, Department of Education

– Fee waiver for Notice of Appeal – refused – Application rejected and dismissed

- a. CAT Regulations Sect.6.5.a. are clear that the fee waiver decision authority is that of the principal registrar
- b. The fee waiver form (Ref.5.h.) again confirms that the fee waiver authority is that of the principal registrar
- c. The above legislation & procedures make it clear that the principal registrar is the proper person to review the fee waiver decision
- d. Procedural requirements are that a more senior officer conduct a review of a decision. Clegg appears to be stating that the decision maker is neither a principal registrar nor a deputy registrar
- e. Clegg is a GSE Act employed officer and an experienced qualified legal practitioner. Her conduct is alleged to be unlawful

B. Method of payment of fees

We do accept the credit card authority by post.

I note you attach a fee waiver request and a credit card authority form with your applications for service (sound recording) and your applications to initiate proceedings.

In the event the fee waiver is refused you do not give clear instructions that registry is authorised to process the fee using the credit card authority.

- a. **In substantially EVERY fee waiver refusal, there is NO REQUEST from the registry officer for the applicant to provide those “clear instructions” required for permission to use the submitted CCPA**
- b. The fee waiver application form has explicit information **IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT FEE WAIVERS**
 3. The fee waiver request form should be given to the Tribunal at the same time as your application.
 - 4. If your request for fee waiver is not approved, you will need to pay the fee.**
- c. The CCPA has been submitted **WITH** the fee waiver application
Any reasonably minded person would easily conclude that that CCPA is provided on the understanding that should the fee waiver be refused, then
 - i. the CCPA would be required for the payment of the requested application
 - ii. the applicant has clearly given the authority to use that CCPA as it submitted **WITH** both the Application form & the Fee Waiver Application form

- e. On **Nov.30,2021 (#23)** I received a notice from Clegg

Case number 2021/000337135

Request for fee waiver and written reasons

I refer to your email of Saturday 27th November 2021 regarding the refusal of your request for a fee waiver in the above case and a request for written reasons for this decision.

Decisions to grant or not grant a fee waiver are administrative decisions and the Registrar's decision is not an appealable decision and cannot be reviewed by the Tribunal.

The administrative decision of the Registrar does not enliven s62 of the Civil and Administrative Tribunal Act 2013, and further written reasons will not be provided.

As stated in the written advice sent to you on 26 November 2021, if the fee is not paid by 1 December for this application it will be rejected and dismissed.

Yours faithfully,

Registrar

lclegg0

Clegg confirms that the fee waiver decision authority lies with the registry.

- i. NCAT procedural clearly state that where a fee waiver has been refused, the fee is required to be paid.
- ii. Payment has been clearly provided by the applicant with the inclusion of the CCPA with the application & the fee waiver.
- iii. The NCAT procedures do not require those "clear instructions"

Clegg is a GSE Act employed officer and an experienced qualified legal practitioner. Her conduct constitutes unlawful conduct

- C.** On two occasions after registry processed the fee and provided you sound recording by express post you contacted your bank and advised that the transactions were unauthorised because **"Documentation not supplied in timeframe"**.

- a. This is again a falsehood perpetrated by both Szczygielski & Clegg
On **May 5,2022 (#24)** the registry received my emailed formal complaint against Bechini for unauthorized use of my credit card details.
The formal complaint makes it clear in no uncertain terms that Bechini used my credit card details **WITHOUT** my authorization.
- b. According to my records I have to-date **NOT** received any formal confirmation of receipt of my formal complaint against Bechini.
THIS PROVIDES ME WITH LEGITIMATE & REASONABLE GROUNDS TO HAVE SERIOUS CONCERNS WITH THE INTEGRITY OF THE PRINCIPAL REGISTRAR SZCZYGIELSKI AND THE INTEGRITY OF FUNCTIONS EXERCISED BY THE REGISTRY CONCERNING MY NCAT MATTERS
The registry is the gateway to exercising GIPA Act / PIPP Act / CAT Act / ADR Act and other legislative & procedural review rights.
The misconduct of Szczygielski, Bechini, Clegg, Skinner, Harrower & other registry staff is clearly **A PUBLIC INTEREST ISSUE**
- c. On **Apr.30,2022 (#25)** I received a letter from the CBA:
A refund is on its way to you
Here's some good news - we've completed our investigation into your recent transaction dispute and will be refunding you the money. We'll deposit the money into your debit card account within the next 3 business days including any associated fees and interest. Thanks for your patience.
Here are your details
Case number: 10808931

This is confirmation that my credit card details were unlawfully used by Bechini

- D. As a result**, NCAT will only accept payment from you by cheque/money order, use of the Secure payment portal or you may pay at an NCAT registry or ServiceNSW. **NCAT will not accept or process payment using the credit card authority form.**

I acknowledge the information provided to you on 10 August 2022 regarding the credit card payment form was not explained fully.

- a. In Szczygielskis UNDATED LETTER Ref.C22/192 (#21) she states:

Unauthorized transactions

You say you noticed two unauthorized transaction on your bank account statement for sound recordings fees by NCAT.

I note that on Apr.9,2022 you emailed the registry and requested they use the credit card details you had supplied to process fees for the sound recordings for applications 2022/00036436 & 2020/000287835.

- i. I include a copy of the Apr.9,2022 (#26) email:

From: <ncatdec012020@pzgipa.com>

To: <ap@ncat.nsw.gov.au>

Cc: <ncatdec012020@pzgipa.com>

Sent: 9/04/2022 5:15 PM

Subject: URGENT re sound recordings NCAT Appeal Panel 2022/00036436-001 - Peter Zonneville v Secretary, Department of Education - Generic Correspondence

To whom it may concern.

1. I request that you use the current credit I have with NCAT to provide payment for the two sound recordings requested

2. I will fill in the payment refund form for the outstanding balance

A. This credit was caused by your error,not mine

B. The CAT Act requires "quick,cheap,minimal formality" principles
The above is consistent with these principles

C. I DO NOT WANT TO MAKE INTERNET PAYMENTS.

THERE ARE HIGHER RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH INTERNET TRANSACTIONS
THEY HAVE BEEN UNREASONABLY DELAYED.

THE ALLEGED CORRUPT WESTGARTH STATED THAT "DELAYS WITH RECORDING
ACCESS COULD BE ADDRESSED BY REQUESTING URGENT ACCESS"

(DESPITE THE FACT THAT PERMITTING PERMISSION TO RECORD THE PROCEEDINGS
WOULD BE QUICKER,CHEAPER & WITH FAR LESS FORMALITY THAN THIS PROCESS)

E. I DO NOT WANT TO DOWNLOAD SOUND RECORDINGS

I. WE HAVE EXPERIENCED BIG PROBLEMS TRYING TO DOWNLOAD LARGE FILES

II. I DO NOT WANT MY PRIVATE DETAILS TO BE ASSOCIATED WITH ANY DOWNLOADING
WEBSITE / APPLICATION

I await your earliest response

Sincerely

Peter Zonneville

victim of NCAT misconduct / alleged corruption

- ii. This clearly **DOES NOT** give any such authority for using the applicants credit card details in payment for those requested sound recordings
- Szczygielski has perpetrated misconduct establishing a blatant FALSEHOOD
 - GSE Act employed Szczygielski has blatantly breach her LEGISLATED MANDATORY CONDUCT OBLIGATIONS pursuant to GSE Act Sect.7 and her agency codes of conduct
 - This unlawful FALSEHOOD is the basis of serious harassment against myself
 - GSE Act employed Clegg is a party to this serious misconduct & serious harassment of the applicant.
- iii. GSE Act employed Szczygielski & Clegg have clearly breached their GSE Act LEGISLATED MANDATORY CONDUCT OBLIGATIONS pursuant to GSE Act SEct.7

b. In Szczygielskis UNDATED LETTER Ref.C22/192 she further states:

Unauthorized transactions

You were advised by the registry on Apr.20,2022 that fee totalling \$90 for the two sound recordings would be charged.

This is patently false and demonstrates Szczygielskis disregard for the fact.

On Apr.20,2022 (#27) I received the following email from Bechini:

On 2022-04-20 02:31, NCATAdministrativeandEqualOpportunity wrote:

Dear Mr Zonneville,

I refer to your request below.

We will use the credit card details provided by you and process the following two sound recordings:
2022/00036436 Peter Zonneville v Secretary, Department of Education (PM Suthers 2/3/2022) \$45.00
2020/000287835 Peter Zonneville v Minister for Ed. Early Childhood Learning (SM Mulvey 28/2/2022) \$45.00
The total to be processed is \$90.00

In reference to the refund of \$110.00 I note you have advised Registry that you will complete the refund request form.

Thank you.

Regards,

Michelle Bechini | Senior Case Management Officer

NSW Civil and Administrative Tribunal (NCAT)

Administrative & Equal Opportunity Division, Occupational Division & Appeals

Level 10 John Maddison Tower, 86-90 Goulburn Street, Sydney 2000

Email: aeod@ncat.nsw.gov.au Ph: 02 9307 8790

Web: www.ncat.nsw.gov.au | Follow us on Twitter

From: ncatdec012020@pzgipa.com <ncatdec012020@pzgipa.com>

Sent: Saturday, 9 April 2022 5:16 PM

To: NCATAppeals <ap@ncat.nsw.gov.au>

Cc: ncatdec012020@pzgipa.com

Subject: URGENT re sound recordings NCAT Appeal Panel 2022/00036436-001 - Peter Zonneville v Secretary, Department of Education - Generic Correspondence

Importance: High

To whom it may concern.

1. I request that you use the current credit I have with NCAT to provide payment for the two sound recordings requested

2. I will fill in the payment refund form for the outstanding balance

A. This credit was caused by your error,not mine

B. The CAT Act requires "quick,cheap,minimal formality" principles

The above is consistent with these principles

C. I DO NOT WANT TO MAKE INTERNET PAYMENTS.THERE ARE HIGHER RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH INTERNET TRANSACTIONS

D. I REQUIRE THESE SOUND RECORDINGS URGENTLY.THEY HAVE BEEN UNREASONABLY DELAYED.

THE ALLEGED CORRUPT WESTGARTH STATED THAT "DELAYS WITH RECORDING ACCESS COULD BE ADDRESSED BY REQUESTING URGENT ACCESS"

(DESPITE THE FACT THAT PERMITTING PERMISSION TO RECORD THE PROCEEDINGS WOULD BE QUICKER,CHEAPER & WITH FAR LESS FORMALITY THAN THIS PROCESS)

E. I DO NOT WANT TO DOWNLOAD SOUND RECORDINGS

I. WE HAVE EXPERIENCED BIG PROBLEMS TRYING TO DOWNLOAD LARGE FILES

II. I DO NOT WANT MY PRIVATE DETAILS TO BE ASSOCIATED WITH ANY DOWNLOADING WEBSITE / APPLICATION

I await your earliest response

Sincerely

Peter Zonneville

victim of NCAT misconduct / alleged corruption

i. Szczygielski has perpetrated misconduct establishing a blatant FALSEHOOD

ii. GSE Act employed Szczygielski has blatantly breach her LEGISLATED MANDATORY CONDUCT OBLIGATIONS pursuant to GSE Act Sect.7 and her agency codes of conduct

iii. This unlawful FALSEHOOD is the basis of serious harassment against myself

iv. GSE Act employed Bechini is a party to this serious misconduct & serious harassment of the applicant.

c. In Szczygielskis UNDATED LETTER Ref.C22/192 she further states:

Unauthorized transactions

It is also noted that you only contacted the registry after they had closed on Apr.20,2022 to advise them that you wanted to use the credit that you had to pay for the two sound

According to the details of my **Apr.20,2022 (#28)** email to Bechini:

From: <ncatdec012020@pzgipa.com>
 To: NCATAdministrativeandEqualOpportunity <aeod@ncat.nsw.gov.au>
 Cc: <ncatdec012020@pzgipa.com>

Sent: 20/04/2022 4:43 PM

Subject: Re: URGENT re sound recordings NCAT Appeal Panel 2022/00036436-001 - Peter Zonneville v Secretary, Department of Education - Generic Correspondence

Attn Ms Bechini,

I request that you use \$90 from the credit for those requested sound recordings.

I will then fill in the refund form for the remaining balance.

Sincerely

Peter Zonneville

victim of NCAT misconduct / alleged corruption

This seems to contradict Szczygileskis account that the registry was already closed.

- i. It is noted that even having received this email that no further response was received from Bechini confirming my request
- ii. It is noted that Bechini did not attempt to cancel or reverse the credit card payment as she was required to do.
- iii. It is noted that GSE Act employed Szczygileski has uses this improper registry conduct to deliberately & maliciously:
 - a. refuse my legitimate CCPAs in multiple proceedings
 - b. subject me to serious harassment
 - c. cause me a economic detriment
 - d. cause me physical & mental detriment
- iv. It is noted that GSE Act employed Clegg has uses this improper registry conduct to deliberately & maliciously:
 - a. refuse my legitimate CCPAs in multiple proceedings
 - b. subject me to serious harassment
 - c. cause me a economic detriment
 - d. cause me physical & mental detriment
- v. It is noted that GSE Act employed Bechini has uses this improper registry conduct to deliberately & maliciously:
 - a. refuse my legitimate CCPAs in multiple proceedings
 - b. subject me to serious harassment
 - c. cause me a economic detriment
 - d. cause me physical & mental detriment

9. **BACKGROUND Refusal to accept correspondence which includes emails with members / registry officer names**

Email from Clegg (#22)

Ref: **Attn NCAT President / Dep.Sec.Justice / Attorney General COMPLAINT**
alleged harassment / unlawful conduct by Michele Bechini

2022 08 23

Clegg writes:

Multiple emails

On 9 December 2021 you were advised by the Principal Registrar that contact restrictions had been implemented.

I confirm again, any emails sent to email boxes other than the following will not be actioned.

- A.** Emails to personal email addresses of NCAT staff or members will be blocked.
- i. I **CATEGORICALLY** state that I have **NEVER** sent an email to a personal email address of either a NCAT registry officer **OR** NCAT member!!!
 - ii. Clegg is again creating a **DELIBERATE FALSEHOOD**
 - a. Louise.Clegg@ncat.nsw.gov.au is a **WORKPLACE EMAIL ADDRESS**
 - b. Cathy.Szczygielski@ncat.nsw.gov.au is a **WORKPLACE EMAIL ADDRESS**
 - c. Theresa.Simon@ncat.nsw.gov.au is a **WORKPLACE EMAIL ADDRESS**
 - d. Justice.Armstrong@ncat.nsw.gov.au is a **WORKPLACE EMAIL ADDRESS**
 - iii. Clegg has indicated that the tribunal & it's staff has the ability to **BLOCK UNWANTED** emails.
 Should those members & staff **NOT BLOCK** any unsolicited emails from the applicant, then it is clear that there is **NO PERCEIVED IMPROPRIETY** perpetrated by the applicants email
 - iv. Clegg has indicated that the tribunal & it's staff has the ability to **BLOCK UNWANTED** emails.
 Should those members & staff feel harassed or otherwise by any unsolicited email, they clearly have the ability to:
 - a. reply to the sender to cease & desist and / or
 - b. block that email address associated with the unsolicited email
 - v. **I HAVE GRAVE FEARS THAT SZCZYGIELSKI, CLEGG & OTHER GSE ACT EMPLOYED OFFICERS HAVE A CLEAR CONFLICT OF INTEREST IN ENSURING THAT NO OTHER MORE SENIOR NCAT OFFICIALS ARE NOTIFIED OF THEIR MISCONDUCT AND / OR ACKNOWLEDGE SUCH MISCONDUCT. THIS SUBMISSION HAS PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE THAT SERIOUS MISCONDUCT IS BEING PERPETRATED BY NCAT REGISTRY OFFICERS INCLUDING (FORMER) PRINCIPAL REGISTRAR SZCZYGIELSKI.**
 - vi. There is more than enough reasonable grounds for the applicant to ensure that legitimate formal complaints & legislative / procedural rights are not unlawfully abused and unlawfully blocked by GSE Act employed officers such as Szczygileski.
 There is a clear public interest in those senior administrators such as the NCAT president / dep.president & division head are informed of that abuse
- B.** You have been informed previously that we cannot include multiple email addresses for one case. Currently you provide an email address on the fee waiver form and two further and different email addresses on the corresponding application.
 In the future, registry will choose the first email listed as the default address.
- i. there are reasonable grounds not to believe this statement.
 - ii. In the alternative, the registry has indicated that they have the authority & ability to make a choice.

- B.** Any emails received from you where you have used a staff member or Tribunal members' name as part of the address will be blocked and not actioned.
- i. I do not think that the public would accept that GSE Act employed registry officers have the authority to dictate what email address a party may use for proceedings where:
 - a. the applicant uses email addresses which assist in the identification of the matter
 - b. the applicant uses email addresses which assist in the identification of a complaint
 - c. members & registry staff members exercise functions in multiple matters which makes the administration of tracking complaints confusing
 - d. there is no evidence of health or safety issues
 - e. any health & safety issues cited are not properly explained or supported by evidence such as formal complaints from the relevant officers
 - f. an officer or member whose name is related that an email address has NOT objected to that email address being used
 - g. that email address is not being used improperly or breaches "decency" obligations
 - ii. I do not accept that the registry has the authority to dictate what email address a party may use for proceedings
 - a. the applicant uses email addresses which assist in the identification of the matter
 - b. the applicant uses email addresses which assist in the identification of a complaint
 - c. members & registry staff members exercise functions in multiple matters which makes the administration of tracking complaints confusing
 - d. there is no evidence of health or safety issues
 - e. any health & safety issues cited are not properly explained or supported by evidence such as formal complaints from the relevant officers
 - f. an officer or member whose name is related that an email address has NOT objected to that email address being used
 - g. that email address is not being used improperly or breaches "decency" obligations
 - iii. Where email addresses have been provided which contain registry officer or member names, GSE Act employed officer Szczygielski has:
 - a. **NOT** demonstrated any health & safety issues
 - b. **NOT** provided any evidence of any health & safety issue
 - c. **NOT** not demonstrated that she is fit & proper person to make such a decision
 - d. **NO** legislative authority to deny a party those legislative rights provided under CAT Act Sect.62
 - e. **NO** legislative authority to deny a party those legislative rights provided under CAT Act Sect.54
 - f. **NO** legislative authority to deny a party the legitimate submission of applications in proceedings where it conforms to the agency's procedural guidelines
 - iv. Where email addresses have been provided which contain registry officer or member names, GSE Act employed officer Clegg has:
 - a. **NOT** demonstrated any health & safety issues
 - b. **NOT** provided any evidence of any health & safety issue
 - c. **NOT** not demonstrated that she is fit & proper person to make such a decision
 - d. **NO** legislative authority to deny a party those legislative rights provided under CAT Act Sect.62
 - e. **NO** legislative authority to deny a party those legislative rights provided under CAT Act Sect.54
 - f. **NO** legislative authority to deny a party the legitimate submission of applications in proceedings where it conforms to the agency's procedural guidelines

The conduct of functions exercised by registry GSE Act employed officers demonstrates petty, unreasonable punitive actions & unlawful conduct based on FALSEHOODS and constitutes abuses of authority / misconduct in public office

- v. This statement:
- a. **LACKS CONSISTENCY**
 - b. is used to justify unlawful & serious abuses of authority / misconduct in public office to deliberately & maliciously
 - i. deny me my legitimate legislative rights
 - ii. deny me my legitimate procedural rights
 - iii. subject me to serious harassment
 - iv. cause me a economic detriment
 - v. cause me physical & mental detriment

I refer to correspondence in proceedings 2022/000196935:

NCAT correspondence sent to GRIFFIN@ PZGIPA.COM:

-  2022 07 08 Generic Correspondence 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2022 07 13 Notice of Listing 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2022 07 28 Notice of Listing 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2022 08 10 Generic Correspondence 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2022 08 18 Generic Correspondence 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2022 10 31 Request for Adjournment 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2022 11 01 Generic Correspondence 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2022 11 07 Notice of Listing - Hearing 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2022 11 07 Order 202 000196935.pdf
-  2023 01 06 Notice of Listing 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2023 01 06 Generic Correspondence 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2023 01 16 Notice 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2023 01 16 Request for Adjournment 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2023 01 19 Generic Correspondence 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2023 01 24 Generic Correspondence 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2023 01 24 Virtual Hearing Details 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2023 01 31 Listing 2022 000196935.pdf
-  2023 01 31 ORDER 2022 000196935.pdf

- vii. On **Feb.1,2023 (#32)** I received a notice from Skinner

Case number 2021/000140934

Generic correspondence

Dear Mr Zonneville,

I refer to your request for sound recording, request for fee waiver, reasons for applying for a fee waiver for sound recording, credit card payment authority form and General application form received by post by the tribunal on 27 January 2023.

In these documents, you have indicated you would like all NCAT Notices and Correspondence emailed to you. The email address you have provided includes the name of a Tribunal Member. You have been previously advised on numerous occasions and in particular on 23 August 2022 that no action will be taken on any correspondence that includes the name of a tribunal member in the email address.

You have also been advised NCAT will only accept payment from you by cheque/money order, use of the Secure payment portal or you may pay at an NCAT registry. NCAT will not accept or process payment using the credit card authority form.

The documents listed will be returned to you by post.

yours faithfully

Registrar

cskinn0

- a. Skinner refers to GRIFFIN@PZGIPA.COM
- b. On **Nov.7,2022 (#30)** Principal Member Suthers used the same email address GRIFFIN@PZGIPA.COM to send the applicant ORDERS
- c. On **Jan.31,2023 (#31)** Principal Member Suthers used the same email address GRIFFIN@PZGIPA.COM to send the applicant ORDERS

It is noted that GSE Act employed Skinner has uses this improper registry conduct to deliberately & maliciously:

- a. deny me my legislative & procedural rights
- b. subject me to serious harassment
- c. cause me a economic detriment
- d. cause me physical & mental detriment

- viii. On **Aug.28,2022 (#22R)** I sent the attached letter to GSE Act employed Clegg in response to her **Aug.23,2022 (#22)** email.

A formal complaint against GSE Act employed Clegg was also lodged

- a. To date no response has been received from GSE Act employed Clegg
- b. To date no response has been received acknowledging the formal complaint

There is a clear conflict of interest in the registry unjustly / unlawfully blocking emails

10. YOUR RESPONSE

Ms Brazel,

In your response I request you confirm:

- a. those **6. AGREED FACTS**
Should you disagree with any of those statement of facts, please provide detailed supporting evidence as to why they are not true / not factual
- b. & provide any evidence that the registry has sought any legal action or otherwise to recover those funds said to have been improperly refused by myself in payment for 2 sound recordings by Szczygielski in her UNDATED letter Ref.C22/192 (#26)
- c. that GSE Act employed officer Szczygielskis grounds for refusing my legitimate CCPAs is based on a **FALSEHOOD**
- d. that GSE Act employed officer Cleggs grounds for refusing my legitimate CCPAs is based on a **FALSEHOOD**
- e. that Bechini used my credit card details **WITHOUT** my express authority.
Please also provide details on whether those credit card details used were stored by the registry or taken from another CCPA?
- f. that I had the legitimate right to have those transactions dealt with by my bank
- g. that those grounds used for the refusal of my Credit card Payment Authorities are false and improper
- h. that GSE Act employed registry officers Szczygielski & Clegg have breached their **LEGISLATED MANDATORY CONDUCT OBLIGATIONS** pursuant to GSE Act Sect.7
- i. that GSE Act employed registry officers Szczygielski & Clegg have breached their **LEGISLATED MANDATORY CONDUCT OBLIGATIONS** pursuant to GSE Act Sect.7
- j. that GSE Act employed registry officers Szczygielski & Clegg have unjustly / unlawfully sought to
 - a. refuse my legitimate legislative & proedural rights in multiple proceedings
 - b. sought to deny me evidence which I would rely on in proceedings (sound recordings. In particular the May 6, 2022 GRIFFIN sound recording required for proceeding s2022/000196935)
 - c. subject me to serious harassment
 - d. cause me a economic detriment
 - e. cause me physical & mental detriment
- j. whether the conduct of GSE Act employed officers Szczygielski, Clegg, Bechini is consistent with that
 - i. required by the tribunal / that of GSE Act employed officers
 - ii. in the interest of any party to proceedings
 - iii. with the public trust placed in the tribunal
 - iv. promotes NCAT services and compliance by agency officers as required by legislation
 - v. fulfills the statutory obligation to promote the object of the CAT & ADR Acts
- k. I have reasonable & legitimate grounds to have sought to bring serious misconduct / unlawful conduct of those GSE Act employed registry officers to the attention of the NCAT president, dep. president & div. head
- l. There are reasonable & sufficient grounds to have GSE Act employed officers Szczygielski, Clegg, Bechini & Skinner referred to the OLSC and / or the Attorney General and / or the Minister and / or the DPP and / or ICAC or any other relevant conduct oversight agency

- m. My proceedings 2022/00036436 & 2022/000196935 have been compromised by GSE Act employed officers Szczygielski, Clegg, Bechini & Skinner by obstructing my access to evidence (sound recordings)
- n. My legislative & procedural rights have been abused by the failure of GSE Act employed officers Szczygielski, Clegg, Bechini & Skinner to exercise their functions impartially and without prejudice

Please note that I reserve the right to make allegations about apprehended / actual complicity related to the unlawful misconduct / abuse of authority / misconduct in public office.

I request that you exercise the utmost integrity in your response & provide a detailed response that reasonably & adequately addresses each of the matters I have raised.

I thank you in anticipation of your kind assistance in resolving these very (very) serious issues

Subject **FOR THE URGENT ATTENTION OF THE PRINCIPAL REGISTRAR**
From <complaintprincipalregistrar@pzgipa.com>
Sender <complaintprincipalregistrar@pzgipa.com>
To <aeod@ncat.nsw.gov.au>
Cc <COMPLAINTPRINCIPALREGISTRAR@PZGIPA.COM>
Date 2023-02-05 02:04
Priority Highest

-
- 2022 08 27 Letter to Clegg.pdf (~70 KB)
 - 2023 02 04 Letter to Principal Registrar Brazel.pdf (~186 KB)
-

URGENT

Attn Ms Brazel,Principal Registrar

Please find attached my correspondence concerning what I believe is unlawful conduct / abuse of authority / misconduct in public office being perpetrated against me by several senior GSE Act employed registry officers.

I also include the letter I sent to GSE Act employed Clegg on / about 28/08/2022 to whichj I have not received a response.

This unlawful conduct / abuse of authority / misconduct in public office has a detrimental effect on myself as well as my proceedings.

Due to the large number of documents required to be attached I will send them separately.

However,I do not believe that this should have any effect on your ability to respond with the requested time.

The documents are all held by your office.

Should there be any questions you wish to raise, please send all correspondence by email to:

complaintprincipalregistrar@pzgipa.com

If you are of the opinion that a formal complaint form is required to be include with this correspondence please advise at your earliest opportunity

I have requested that you formally acknowledge receipt of the attached latter within 2 working days.

(I have legitimate & justified grave concerns that those GSE Act employed registry officers tasked with ensuring you receive such correspondence may seek to obstruct it's delivery)

Peter Zonneville

Subject **URGENT NCAT PRINCIPAL REGISTRAR**
From <complaintprincipalregistrar@pzigipa.com>
Sender <complaintprincipalregistrar@pzigipa.com>
To <ncatenquiries@ncat.nsw.gov.au>
Cc <complaintprincipalregistrar@pzigipa.com>, <principalregistrar@pzigipa.com>
Date 2023-02-10 21:48
Priority Highest

- 2023 02 11 Letter to Principal Registrar Brazel.pdf (~191 KB)
 - Brazel complaint documents Part 1.pdf (~1.7 MB)
 - Brazel complaint documents Part 2.pdf (~3.5 MB)
 - NCAT COMPLAINT FORM BECHINI.pdf (~109 KB)
 - NCAT COMPLAINT FORM CLEGG.pdf (~109 KB)
 - NCAT COMPLAINT FORM SKINNER.pdf (~109 KB)
 - NCAT COMPLAINT FORM SZCZYGIELSKI.pdf (~109 KB)
-

URGENT

Attn Ms Brazel,Principal Registrar

I note that to date I have not received a response to my complaint dated Feb.4,2023

Please find attached my AMENDED correspondence concerning what I believe is unlawful conduct / abuse of authority / misconduct in public office being perpetrated against me by several senior GSE Act employed registry officers.

I also include the letter I sent to GSE Act employed Clegg on / about 28/08/2022 to which I have not received a response.

This unlawful conduct / abuse of authority / misconduct in public office has a detrimental effect on myself as well as my proceedings.

Due to the large number of documents required to be attached I will send them separately.

However,I do not believe that this should have any effect on your ability to respond with the requested time.

The documents are all held by your office.

Should there be any questions you wish to raise, please send all correspondence by email to:
complaintprincipalregistrar@pzigipa.com

If you are of the opinion that a formal complaint form is required to be include with this correspondence please advise at your earliest opportunity

I have requested that you formally acknowledge receipt of the attached letter within 2 working days.

(I have legitimate & justified grave concerns that those GSE Act employed registry officers tasked with ensuring you receive such correspondence may seek to obstruct it's delivery)

Peter Zonneville

victim of alleged NCAT abuse / corrupt conduct

On 2023-02-05 02:04, complaintprincipalregistrar@pzgipa.com wrote:

URGENT

Attn Ms Brazel,Principal Registrar

Please find attached my correspondence concerning what I believe is unlawful conduct / abuse of authority / misconduct in public office being perpetrated against me by several senior GSE Act employed registry officers.

I also include the letter I sent to GSE Act employed Clegg on / about 28/08/2022 to whichj I have not received a response.

This unlawful conduct / abuse of authority / misconduct in public office has a detrimental effect on myself as well as my proceedings.

Due to the large number of documents required to be attached I will send them separately.

However,I do not believe that this should have any effect on your ability to respond with the requested time.

The documents are all held by your office.

Should there be any questions you wish to raise, please send all correspondence by email to:
complaintprincipalregistrar@pzgipa.com

If you are of the opinion that a formal complaint form is required to be include with this correspondence please advise at your earliest opportunity

I have requested that you formally acknowledge receipt of the attached letter within 2 working days.

(I have legitimate & justified grave concerns that those GSE Act employed registry officers tasked with

ensuring you receive such correspondence may seek to obstruct it's delivery)

Peter Zonneville

victim of alleged NCAT abuse / corrupt conduct

Subject **URGENT NCAT PRINCIPAL REGISTRAR**
From <complaintprincipalregistrar@pzgipa.com>
Sender <complaintprincipalregistrar@pzgipa.com>
To <ncatenquiries@ncat.nsw.gov.au>
Cc <complaintprincipalregistrar@pzgipa.com>, <principalregistrar@pzgipa.com>
Date 2023-02-24 22:49
Priority Highest

- 2023 02 11 Letter to Principal Registrar Brazel.pdf (~191 KB)
 - Brazel complaint documents Part 1.pdf (~1.7 MB)
 - Brazel complaint documents Part 2.pdf (~3.5 MB)
 - NCAT COMPLAINT FORM BECHINI.pdf (~109 KB)
 - NCAT COMPLAINT FORM CLEGG.pdf (~109 KB)
 - NCAT COMPLAINT FORM SKINNER.pdf (~109 KB)
 - NCAT COMPLAINT FORM SZCZYGIELSKI.pdf (~109 KB)
-

URGENT

Attn Ms Brazel,Principal Registrar

I note that to date I have still not received a response to my complaint dated Feb.4,2023 or the amended complaint of Feb.11,2023

I again attach my AMENDED correspondence concerning what I believe is unlawful conduct / abuse of authority / misconduct in public office being perpetrated against me by several senior GSE Act employed registry officers.

I also include the letter I sent to GSE Act employed Clegg on / about 28/08/2022 to which I have not received a response.

A hard copy has already been received by you in the bundle of documents I sent on Feb.16,2023 received by the registry on Feb.17,2023

This unlawful conduct / abuse of authority / misconduct in public office has a detrimental effect on myself as well as my proceedings.

Due to the large number of documents required to be attached I will send them separately.

However,I do not believe that this should have any effect on your ability to respond with the requested time.

The documents are all held by your office.

Should there be any questions you wish to raise, please send all correspondence by email to:
complaintprincipalregistrar@pzgipa.com

If you are of the opinion that a formal complaint form is required to be include with this correspondence please advise at your earliest opportunity

I again request that you formally acknowledge receipt of the attached

letter within 2 working days.

(I have legitimate & justified grave concerns that those GSE Act employed registry officers tasked with ensuring you receive such correspondence may seek to obstruct it's delivery)

PLEASE NOTE:

1. As the registry have control of all correspondence to the tribunal,I appear to be forced to unnecessarily re-send this email & documents in the hope that you have received my complaints. I regret to advise there be no confirmation from you that you have received these documents / complaints by email & post I will be forced to keep resending this information until I perceive that it is in my best interests to send these documents / complaints directly to senior tribunal members.

2. Please note that the next time I resend this email & attachments I will include: aeod@ncat.nsw.gov.au & ap@ncat.nsw.gov.au as recipients as it appears that your nominated email address ncatenquiries@ncat.nsw.gov.au does not provide the required service.

3. I request that you state whether the sending of this correspondence / complaints constitutes unreasonable / vexatious / improper or otherwise unacceptable conduct. If you do deem it as any of the above,would you please state full & detailed reasons why it is deemed any of the above given your refusal to confirm your receipt of these documents / complaints?

Thanks for your understanding and your earliest response

Peter Zonneville
victim of alleged NCAT abuse / corrupt conduct

----- Original Message -----

Subject:URGENT NCAT PRINCIPAL REGISTRAR
Date:2023-02-10 21:48
From:complaintprincipalregistrar@pzgipa.com
To:ncatenquiries@ncat.nsw.gov.au
Cc:complaintprincipalregistrar@pzgipa.com, principalregistrar@pzgipa.com

URGENT

Attn Ms Brazel,Principal Registrar

I note that to date I have not received a response to my complaint dated Feb.4,2023

Please find attached my AMENDED correspondence concerning what I believe is unlawful conduct / abuse of authority / misconduct in public office being perpetrated against me by several senior GSE Act employed registry officers.

I also include the letter I sent to GSE Act employed Clegg on / about 28/08/2022 to which I have not received a response.

This unlawful conduct / abuse of authority / misconduct in public office has a detrimental effect on myself as well as my proceedings.

Due to the large number of documents required to be attached I will send them separately.

However,I do not believe that this should have any effect on your ability to respond with the requested time.

The documents are all held by your office.

Should there be any questions you wish to raise, please send all correspondence by email to:
complaintprincipalregistrar@pzgipa.com

If you are of the opinion that a formal complaint form is required to be include with this correspondence please advise at your earliest opportunity

I have requested that you formally acknowledge receipt of the attached latter within 2 working days.

(I have legitimate & justified grave concerns that those GSE Act employed registry officers tasked with ensuring you receive such correspondence may seek to obstruct it's delivery)

Peter Zonneville
victim of alleged NCAT abuse / corrupt conduct

On 2023-02-05 02:04, complaintprincipalregistrar@pzgipa.com wrote:

URGENT

Attn Ms Brazel,Principal Registrar

Please find attached my correspondence concerning what I believe is unlawful conduct / abuse of authority / misconduct in public office being perpetrated against me by several senior GSE Act employed registry officers. I also include the letter I sent to GSE Act employed Clegg on / about 28/08/2022 to which I have not received a response.

This unlawful conduct / abuse of authority / misconduct in public office has a detrimental effect on myself as well as my proceedings.

Due to the large number of documents required to be attached I will send them separately.

However, I do not believe that this should have any effect on your ability to respond with the requested time.

The documents are all held by your office.

Should there be any questions you wish to raise,

please send all correspondence by email to:

complaintprincipalregistrar@pzgipa.com

If you are of the opinion that a formal complaint form is required to be included with this correspondence please advise at your earliest opportunity

I have requested that you formally acknowledge receipt of the attached letter within 2 working days.

(I have legitimate & justified grave concerns that those GSE Act employed registry officers tasked with ensuring you receive such correspondence may seek to obstruct its delivery)

Peter Zonneville

victim of alleged NCAT abuse / corrupt conduct

Subject **Attn Principal registrar - Request for Registry procedures & policy**
From <principalregistrar@pzgipa.com>
Sender <principalregistrar@pzgipa.com>
To <aeod@ncat.nsw.gov.au>, <ipcinfo@ipc.nsw.gov.au>
Cc <principalregistrar@pzgipa.com>
Date 2023-02-25 00:48
Priority Highest

Attn Principal Registrar,

I understand that the tribunal has the obligation to publish procedures & policies.

I refer to the GIPA Act:

GOVERNMENT INFORMATION (PUBLIC ACCESS) ACT 2009 - SECT 18

What constitutes open access information

18 What constitutes open access information

The following government information held by an agency is the agency's

"open access information" that is required to be made publicly available by the agency under section 6 (Mandatory proactive release of certain government information)--

- (a) the agency's current agency information guide (see Division 2),
- (b) information about the agency contained in any document tabled in Parliament by or on behalf of the agency, other than any document tabled by order of either House of Parliament,
- (c) the agency's policy documents (see Division 3),
- (d) the agency's disclosure log of access applications (see Division 4),
- (e) the agency's register of government contracts (see Division 5),
- (f) the agency's record (kept under section 6) of the open access information (if any) that it does not make publicly available on the basis of an overriding public interest against disclosure,
- (g) such other government information as may be prescribed by the regulations as open access information.

GOVERNMENT INFORMATION (PUBLIC ACCESS) ACT 2009 - SECT 23

What constitutes an agency's policy documents

23 What constitutes an agency's policy documents

An agency's "**policy documents**" are such of the following documents as are used by the agency in connection with the exercise of those functions of the agency that affect or are likely to affect rights, privileges or other benefits, or obligations, penalties or other detriments, to which members of the public are or may become entitled, eligible, liable or subject (but does not include a legislative instrument)--

- (a) a document containing interpretations, rules, guidelines, statements of policy, practices or precedents,
- (b) a document containing particulars of any administrative scheme,
- (c) a document containing a statement of the manner, or intended manner, of administration of any legislative instrument or administrative scheme,
- (d) a document describing the procedures to be followed in investigating any contravention or possible contravention of any legislative instrument or administrative scheme,
- (e) any other document of a similar kind.

I cannot find the following information on the NCAT website which would be related to those mandatory

disclosure obligations under the GIPA ACT including:

0. Policy documents where a complaint is made against the principal registrar

1. **Policy documents** detailing all powers / authority exercised by the principal registrar (including the power to refuse an applicants email address)
2. **Policy documents** detailing the delegation of powers / authority by the principal registrar
3. **Policy documents** regarding the review of fee waiver decisions

- 4. **Policy documents** regarding the authority / power of the principal registrar to refuse:
 - a. applications seeking review of administrative decisions
 - b. applications lodged for proceedings
 - c. applications seeking review of fee waiver decisions
 - d. credit card payment authorities
 - e. submissions / correspondence for proceedings
 - f. legislative rights of a party to proceedings
 - g. procedural rights of a party to proceedings
 - h. legislative rights of a person seeking a NCAT review application
 - i. procedural rights of a person seeking a NCAT review application

5. **Policy documents** regarding the authority / power of a registrar to refuse:

- a. applications seeking review of administrative decisions
- b. applications lodged for proceedings
- c. applications seeking review of fee waiver decisions
- d. credit card payment authorities
- e. submissions / correspondence for proceedings
- f. legislative rights of a party to proceedings
- g. procedural rights of a party to proceedings
- h. legislative rights of a person seeking a NCAT review application
- i. procedural rights of a person seeking a NCAT review application
- j. legitimate formal complaints against any registry officer
- k. breaches of complaints policy obligations by the principal registrar

15. Where possible, NCAT will acknowledge written complaints within three (3) working days and respond within 21-28 days of receipt.

- l. to uphold GSE Act legislated mandatory conduct obligations

6. **Policy documents** regarding the authority / power of a case officer to refuse:

- a. applications seeking review of administrative decisions
- b. applications lodged for proceedings
- c. applications seeking review of fee waiver decisions
- d. credit card payment authorities
- e. submissions / correspondence for proceedings
- f. legislative rights of a party to proceedings
- g. procedural rights of a party to proceedings
- h. legislative rights of a person seeking a NCAT review application
- i. procedural rights of a person seeking a NCAT review application
- j. legitimate formal complaints against any registry officer
- k. breaches of complaints policy obligations by the registry officers

15. Where possible, NCAT will acknowledge written complaints within three (3) working days and respond within 21-28 days of receipt.

- l. to uphold GSE Act legislated mandatory conduct obligations

7. **Policy documents** concerning the appointment of "registrars"

8. **Policy documents** detailing the authority / powers of

- a. registrars
- b. case officers

9. **Policy documents** related to the breaching of GSE Act legislated mandatory

conduct obligations by principal registrars & review rights for decisions made by the principal registrar

10. **Policy documents** related to the breaching of GSE Act legislated mandatory

conduct obligations by registry officers

11. **Policy documents** for the

a. imposition of restrictions

b. review of decisions

made by the principal registrar made against a person / party under:

Managing unreasonable complaint conduct

(Especially when those imposed restrictions are justified & based on a falsehood)

I have CC'd in the IPC as there is a considerable public interest factor in this required information

Awaiting your prompt reply

Peter Zonneville

victim of alleged NCAT corruption

victim of alleged DEC corruption

Subject **AMENDED Attn Principal registrar - Request for Registry procedures & policy**
From <principalregistrar@pzgipa.com>
Sender <principalregistrar@pzgipa.com>
To <aeod@ncat.nsw.gov.au>, <ipcinfo@ipc.nsw.gov.au>
Cc <principalregistrar@pzgipa.com>
Date 2023-02-26 19:25
Priority Highest

Attn Principal Registrar,

apologies for the hassles as my earlier email may not have made sense as I was rushing to get this enquiry done

I understand that the tribunal has the obligation to publish procedures & policies.

I refer to the GIPA Act:

GOVERNMENT INFORMATION (PUBLIC ACCESS) ACT 2009 - SECT 18

What constitutes open access information

18 What constitutes open access information

The following government information held by an agency is the agency's

"open access information" that is **required to be made publicly available** by the agency under section 6 (Mandatory proactive release of certain government information)--

- (a) the agency's current agency information guide (see Division 2),
- (b) information about the agency contained in any document tabled in Parliament by or on behalf of the agency, other than any document tabled by order of either House of Parliament,
- (c) the agency's policy documents (see Division 3),**
- (d) the agency's disclosure log of access applications (see Division 4),
- (e) the agency's register of government contracts (see Division 5),
- (f) the agency's record (kept under section 6) of the open access information (if any) that it does not make publicly available on the basis of an overriding public interest against disclosure,
- (g) such other government information as may be prescribed by the regulations as open access information.

GOVERNMENT INFORMATION (PUBLIC ACCESS) ACT 2009 - SECT 23

What constitutes an agency's policy documents

23 What constitutes an agency's policy documents

An agency's

"**policy documents**" are such of the following documents as are used by the agency in connection with the exercise of those functions of the agency that affect or are likely to affect rights, privileges or other benefits, or obligations, penalties or other detriments, to which members of the public are or may become entitled, eligible, liable or subject (but does not include a legislative instrument)--

- (a) a document containing interpretations, rules, guidelines, statements of policy, practices or precedents,
- (b) a document containing particulars of any administrative scheme,
- (c) a document containing a statement of the manner, or intended manner, of administration of any legislative instrument or administrative scheme,
- (d) a document describing the procedures to be followed in investigating any contravention or possible contravention of any legislative instrument or administrative scheme,
- (e) any other document of a similar kind.

I cannot find the following information on the NCAT website which would be related to those mandatory

disclosure obligations under the GIPA ACT including:

0. Policy documents for complaints made against a / the principal registrar

32

1. **Policy documents** detailing all powers / authority exercised by the principal registrar (including the power to refuse an applicant's email address)

2. **Policy documents** detailing the delegation of powers / authority by the principal registrar to registry officers

3. **Policy documents** regarding the review of fee waiver decisions

4. **Policy documents** regarding the authority / power of the principal registrar to refuse:

- a. applications seeking review of administrative decisions
- b. applications lodged for proceedings
- c. applications seeking review of fee waiver decisions
- d. credit card payment authorities
- e. submissions / correspondence for proceedings
- f. legislative rights of a party to proceedings
- g. procedural rights of a party to proceedings
- h. legislative rights of a person seeking a NCAT review application
- i. procedural rights of a person seeking a NCAT review application

5. **Policy documents** regarding the authority / power of a **PRINCIPAL REGISTRAR** to refuse:

- a. applications seeking review of administrative decisions
- b. applications lodged for proceedings
- c. applications seeking review of fee waiver decisions
- d. credit card payment authorities
- e. submissions / correspondence for proceedings
- f. legislative rights of a party to proceedings
- g. procedural rights of a party to proceedings
- h. legislative rights of a person seeking a NCAT review application
- i. procedural rights of a person seeking a NCAT review application
- j. legitimate formal complaints against any registry officer
- k. breaches of complaints policy obligations by the principal registrar

15. Where possible, NCAT will acknowledge written complaints within three (3) working days and respond within 21-28 days of receipt.

- l. to uphold GSE Act legislated mandatory conduct obligations

6. **Policy documents** regarding the authority / power of a **REGISTRAR** to refuse:

- a. applications seeking review of administrative decisions
- b. applications lodged for proceedings
- c. applications seeking review of fee waiver decisions
- d. credit card payment authorities
- e. submissions / correspondence for proceedings
- f. legislative rights of a party to proceedings
- g. procedural rights of a party to proceedings
- h. legislative rights of a person seeking a NCAT review application
- i. procedural rights of a person seeking a NCAT review application
- j. legitimate formal complaints against any registry officer
- k. breaches of complaints policy obligations by the registry officers

15. Where possible, NCAT will acknowledge written complaints within three (3) working days and respond within 21-28 days of receipt.

- l. to uphold GSE Act legislated mandatory conduct obligations

7. **Policy documents** regarding the authority / power of a **CASE OFFICER** to refuse:

- a. applications seeking review of administrative decisions

32

- b. applications lodged for proceedings
- c. applications seeking review of fee waiver decisions
- d. credit card payment authorities
- e. submissions / correspondence for proceedings
- f. legislative rights of a party to proceedings
- g. procedural rights of a party to proceedings
- h. legislative rights of a person seeking a NCAT review application
- i. procedural rights of a person seeking a NCAT review application
- j. legitimate formal complaints against any registry officer
- k. breaches of complaints policy obligations by the registry officers

15. Where possible, NCAT will acknowledge written complaints within three (3) working days and respond within 21-28 days of receipt.

- l. to uphold GSE Act legislated mandatory conduct obligations

8. **Policy documents** concerning the appointment of "registrars"

9. **Policy documents** detailing the authority / powers of

- a. registrars
- b. case officers

10. **Policy documents** related to the breaching of GSE Act legislated mandatory conduct obligations by principal registrars & review rights for decisions made by the principal registrar

11. **Policy documents** related to the breaching of GSE Act legislated mandatory conduct obligations by registry officers & case officers

12. **Policy documents** for the

- a. imposition of restrictions
- b. review of decisions

made by the principal registrar / registrar / case officer made against a person / party under:

Managing unreasonable complaint conduct

(Especially when those imposed restrictions are justified & based on a falsehood)

Would you please advise the appropriate weblinks for this information so I can access these policies?

Alternatively would you please email me a copy of those policy documents at your earliest convenience?

I have CC'd in the IPC as there is a considerable public interest factor in this required information

Awaiting your prompt reply

Peter Zonneville

victim of alleged NCAT corruption

victim of alleged DEC corruption

